



Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Second Quarter June 30, 2010

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Index

	Page No.
Second Quarter 2010 Business Review	2
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	8
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.....	9
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	10
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	11
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.....	13
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	14
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....	57
Controls and Procedures.....	57
Legal Proceedings	59
Risk Factors	66

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Second Quarter 2010

Business Review

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. reported a net profit of \$9.2 million or \$0.69 per share for the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net profit of \$7.1 million or \$0.55 per share in the second quarter of 2009. Revenue for the second quarter of 2010 was \$257.0 million, compared to revenue of \$250.7 million in the second quarter of 2009, an increase of 2.5%. Client assets entrusted to the Company and under management totaled approximately \$66.9 billion while client assets under fee-based programs offered by the asset management groups totaled approximately \$14.7 billion at June 30, 2010 (\$55.3 billion and \$13.6 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2009).

Net profit for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$18.4 million or \$1.38 per share compared to \$5.1 million or \$0.39 per share in the same period of 2009. Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$503.2 million, an increase of 10.3% compared to \$456.0 million in the same period of 2009.

The U.S. economy grew for the third consecutive quarter, albeit at a slower rate than previous quarters. Labor markets are slowly improving and, while U.S. consumer confidence has declined in recent weeks, spending continues to increase. Credit charge-offs seem to have topped out for this cycle, but the recovery has slowed as a result of concerns over availability of credit, continuing sluggishness in the housing recovery, and concerns surrounding the impact on the credit markets of the European debt crisis. Although European policymakers have announced stress tests for European banks and aid to some European sovereign credits, markets continue to see significant risks to global recovery. The effects of these concerns have resulted in the lowest interest rates for U.S. government debt in a generation and a weak stock market as investors seek safety in an uncertain environment.

Overall, the Company's revenue improved in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009, boosted by stronger investment banking revenue, higher asset management advisory fees as well as higher interest income. Commission income and principal transactions revenue declined in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as the stock market stalled in early May and declined thereafter due to a decline in consumer confidence and other factors. Net interest revenue for the Company, as well as fees derived from money market funds and FDIC-insured deposits of clients, continue to be significantly and adversely affected by the low interest rate environment.

In commenting on the Company's results, Albert Lowenthal, Chairman remarked, "We are pleased with Oppenheimer's sustained return to profitability and growth. The Company continues to pursue opportunities for prudently expanding its

business. Improved investment banking results offset lower returns from trading and investment, while investor transaction activity was significantly affected by concerns over market direction, the impact of financial regulation on markets and liquidity, and a preoccupation with the oil spill along the U.S. Gulf coast. We are not satisfied with our return on capital for our shareholders in the current environment. Continued economic expansion and an eventual move to a higher interest rate environment should permit higher levels of profitability. The Company remains well positioned to attract clients and experienced professionals across its platform in the weeks and months ahead. "

Highlights of the Company's results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 follow:

Revenue and Expenses

Revenue - Second Quarter 2010

- Commission revenue was \$139.6 million for the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 2.2% compared to \$142.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. Weak investor sentiment and volatile markets in the 2010 period contributed to the decline.
- Principal transactions revenue was \$16.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$30.2 million in the second quarter of 2009, a decrease of 44.4%. The decrease stems from lower income from firm investments (a net loss of \$144,000 for the second quarter of 2010 compared to income of \$6.4 million for the second quarter of 2009), and lower fixed income trading revenue (\$17.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$23.5 million in the second quarter of 2009). The net loss from firm investments included realized and unrealized gains of approximately \$2.2 million which arose from the conversion of the Company's Chicago Board Options Exchange membership into 80,000 shares by way of an IPO that closed on June 14, 2010. The Company sold 20,000 shares in June 2010.
- Interest revenue was \$11.2 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 29.2% compared to \$8.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to interest earned on reverse repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.
- Investment banking revenue was \$36.3 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 65.8% compared to \$21.9 million in the second quarter of 2009 with increased revenue from equity issuance of \$5.2 million and fee income related to private placements of \$10.8 million, offset by a decrease of \$4.3 million in advisory services.
- Advisory fees were \$44.0 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 23.9% compared to \$35.5 million in the second quarter of 2009. Asset management fees increased by \$14.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of an

increase in the value of assets under management of 47.8% during the period. Asset management fees are calculated based on client assets under management at the end of the prior quarter which totaled \$17.0 billion at March 31, 2010 (\$11.5 billion at March 31, 2009). This increase was offset by a decrease of \$4.2 million in fees from money market funds as a result of waivers of \$5.7 million on fees that otherwise would have been due from money market funds (\$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2009).

- Other revenue was \$9.1 million in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 22.2% compared to \$11.7 million in the second quarter of 2009 primarily as a result of a \$6.2 million decrease in the mark-to-market value of Company-owned life insurance policies that relate to our employee deferred compensation programs. This decline was offset by increased fees generated from Oppenheimer Multifamily Housing & Healthcare Finance, Inc. ("OMHMF") (formerly called Evanston Financial Corporation) in the amount of \$3.6 million.

Revenue – Year-to-date 2010

- Commission revenue was \$277.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 4.2% compared to \$266.5 million in the same period of 2009.
- Principal transactions revenue was \$37.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$54.9 million in the same period of 2009, a decrease of 32.7%. The decrease stems from lower income from firm investments (a net loss of \$413,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to income of \$5.6 million for the same period of 2009 and lower fixed income trading revenue (\$36.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$46.7 million in the same period of 2009). These declines were partially offset by an increase in U.S. government trading income which amounted to \$3.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$1.6 million for the same period of 2009.
- Interest revenue was \$20.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 28.3% compared to \$16.2 million in the same period of 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to interest earned on reverse repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.
- Investment banking revenue was \$61.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 101.7% compared to \$30.5 million in the same period of 2009 with increased revenue from equity issuance of \$15.0 million and fee income associated with private placements of \$11.2 million.
- Advisory fees were \$86.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 21.8% compared to \$71.3 million in the same period of 2009. Asset management fees increased by \$25.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of an increase in the value of assets under management

during the period. This increase was offset by a decrease of \$9.7 million in fees from money market funds as a result of waivers of \$11.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 on fees that otherwise would have been due from money market funds (\$2.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009).

- Other revenue was \$19.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 16.8% compared to \$16.6 million in the same period of 2009 primarily as a result of a \$6.0 million increase in fees generated from OMHFF in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period on 2009.

Expenses – Second Quarter 2010

- Compensation and related expenses decreased 2.1% in the second quarter of 2010 to \$164.3 million compared to \$167.9 million in the second quarter of 2009. Decreases in share-based compensation expense and deferred compensation expense of \$3.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, were partially offset by increases in salary and related expenses in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009.
- Clearing and exchange fees increased 16.2% to \$7.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$6.7 million in the same period of 2009 partly due to trade execution costs related to the government trading business.
- Communications and technology expenses increased 12.2% to \$16.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$14.5 million in the same period of 2009 due primarily to increases of \$435,000 and \$495,000, respectively, in IT-related and telecommunications expenses in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter of 2009.
- Occupancy and equipment costs of \$18.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 were flat compared to \$18.3 million in the second quarter of 2009.
- Interest expenses increased 26.7% to \$6.4 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$5.0 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to interest expense incurred on positions and repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.
- Other expenses increased 10.0% to \$27.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$25.3 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to an increase in legal costs of approximately \$2.4 million as a result of increased client litigation and arbitration activity as well as legal costs to resolve regulatory matters.

Expenses – Year-to-date 2010

- Compensation and related expenses increased 4.5% in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$322.5 million compared to \$308.6 million in the same period of 2009. Decreases in share-based compensation expense and deferred compensation expense of \$7.2 million and \$2.6

million, respectively, were partially offset by increases in salary and related expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009.

- Clearing and exchange fees increased 15.3% to \$14.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$12.5 million in the same period of 2009 partly due to trade execution costs related to the government trading business.
- Communications and technology expenses decreased 4.5% to \$32.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$34.3 million in the same period of 2009 due primarily to lower market data costs in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009.
- Occupancy and equipment costs of \$36.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 were flat compared to \$36.5 million in the same period of 2009.
- Interest expenses increased 10.4% to \$11.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$10.6 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to interest expense incurred on positions and repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.
- Other expenses increased 22.4% to \$53.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$43.4 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to an increase in legal costs of approximately \$6.9 million as a result of increased client litigation and arbitration activity as well as legal costs to resolve regulatory matters.

Stockholders' Equity and Dividend Declaration

- At June 30, 2010, total equity was \$473.5 million compared to \$451.4 million at December 31, 2009.
- At June 30, 2010, book value per share was \$35.34 (compared to \$33.12 at June 30, 2009) and tangible book value per share was \$22.18 (compared to \$19.31 at June 30, 2009).
- On July 30, 2010, the Company announced a quarterly cash dividend in the amount of \$0.11 per share, payable on August 27, 2010 to holders of Class A non-voting and Class B voting common stock of record on August 13, 2010.

Company Information

Oppenheimer, through its principal subsidiaries, Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. (a U.S. broker-dealer) and Oppenheimer Asset Management Inc., offers a wide range of investment banking, securities, investment management and wealth management services from 94 offices in 26 states and through local broker-dealers in 4 foreign jurisdictions. Oppenheimer employs over 3,600 people. The Company offers trust and estate services through Oppenheimer Trust Company. OPY Credit Corp. offers

syndication as well as trading of issued corporate loans. Oppenheimer Multifamily Housing & Healthcare Finance, Inc. (formerly called Evanston Financial Corporation) is engaged in mortgage brokerage and servicing. In addition, through Freedom Investments, Inc. and the BUYandHOLD division of Freedom, Oppenheimer offers online discount brokerage and dollar-based investing services.

Forward-Looking Statements

This press release includes certain “forward-looking statements” relating to anticipated future performance. For a discussion of the factors that could cause future performance to be different than anticipated, reference is made to Factors Affecting “Forward-Looking Statements” and Part 1A – Risk Factors in Oppenheimer’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Expressed in thousands of dollars		
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$47,006	\$68,918
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes.....	106,947	78,133
Deposits with clearing organizations.....	35,508	25,798
Receivable from brokers and clearing organizations ..	313,548	390,912
Receivable from customers, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,737 (\$2,378 in 2009)	843,156	826,658
Income taxes receivable.....	13,650	5,509
Securities purchased under agreement to resell	430,928	163,825
Securities owned, including amounts pledged of \$194,802 (\$64,835 in 2009), at fair value.....	393,403	238,372
Notes receivable, net	64,978	61,396
Office facilities, net	21,956	22,356
Deferred income tax, net.....	6,399	15,359
Intangible assets, net	43,141	45,303
Goodwill	132,472	132,472
Other	141,235	128,372
	<u>\$2,594,327</u>	<u>\$2,203,383</u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities		
Drafts payable	\$37,180	\$48,097
Bank call loans	62,400	-
Payable to brokers and clearing organizations	405,859	436,018
Payable to customers.....	496,533	488,360
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase.....	464,044	155,625
Securities sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value ...	181,870	131,739
Accrued compensation	136,859	202,525
Accounts payable and other liabilities	197,528	150,049
Senior secured credit note	31,503	32,503
Subordinated note.....	100,000	100,000
Excess of fair value of acquired assets over cost.....	7,020	7,020
	<u>2,120,796</u>	<u>1,751,936</u>
Equity		
Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. stockholders' equity		
Share capital		
Class A non-voting common stock		
(2010 – 13,253,022 shares issued and outstanding 2009 – 13,118,001 shares issued and outstanding) ..	51,458	47,691
Class B voting common stock		
99,680 shares issued and outstanding	133	133
	51,591	47,824
Contributed capital.....	44,265	41,978
Retained earnings	377,626	362,188
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,591)	(543)
Total Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. stockholders' equity.....	471,891	451,447
Noncontrolling interest	1,640	-
Total equity	<u>473,531</u>	<u>451,447</u>
	<u>\$2,594,327</u>	<u>\$2,203,383</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Expressed in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts				
REVENUE:				
Commissions	\$139,582	\$142,713	\$277,779	\$266,509
Principal transactions, net	16,778	30,201	36,957	54,942
Interest	11,198	8,668	20,776	16,190
Investment banking	36,336	21,909	61,520	30,501
Advisory fees.....	43,984	35,511	86,778	71,275
Other	9,118	11,722	19,361	16,572
	<u>256,996</u>	<u>250,724</u>	<u>503,171</u>	<u>455,989</u>
EXPENSES:				
Compensation and related expenses ..	164,304	167,902	322,483	308,564
Clearing and exchange fees	7,823	6,735	14,385	12,473
Communications and technology	16,300	14,530	32,740	34,281
Occupancy and equipment costs.....	18,262	18,283	36,722	36,516
Interest.....	6,389	5,043	11,690	10,586
Other	27,772	25,255	53,145	43,415
	<u>240,850</u>	<u>237,748</u>	<u>471,165</u>	<u>445,835</u>
Profit before income taxes	16,146	12,976	32,006	10,154
Income tax provision	6,284	5,846	12,780	5,038
Net profit for the period.....	9,862	7,130	19,226	5,116
Less net profit attributable to non- controlling interest, net of tax.....	660	—	856	—
Net profit attributable to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.....	<u>\$9,202</u>	<u>\$7,130</u>	<u>\$18,370</u>	<u>\$5,116</u>
Profit per share attributable to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.:				
Basic	\$0.69	\$0.55	\$1.38	\$0.39
Diluted	\$0.66	\$0.54	\$1.32	\$0.38
Dividends declared per share.....	\$0.11	\$0.11	\$0.22	\$0.22

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
	Expressed in thousands of dollars			
Net profit for the period.....	\$9,862	\$7,130	\$19,226	\$5,116
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Currency translation adjustment	(516)	535	(231)	(319)
Change in cash flow hedges, net of tax.....	(450)	1,102	(817)	971
Comprehensive income for the period.....	8,896	8,767	18,178	5,768
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	660	–	856	–
Comprehensive income attributable to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.	<u>\$8,236</u>	<u>\$8,767</u>	<u>\$17,322</u>	<u>\$5,768</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Expressed in thousands of dollars		
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net profit for the period	\$19,226	\$5,116
Adjustments to reconcile net profit to net cash used in operating activities:		
Non-cash items included in net profit:		
Depreciation and amortization.....	6,007	6,381
Deferred income tax.....	8,960	(3,258)
Amortization of notes receivable	10,005	8,729
Amortization of debt issuance costs.....	391	571
Amortization of intangibles.....	2,162	2,529
Provision for doubtful accounts	359	539
Share-based compensation	(408)	6,759
Decrease (increase) in operating assets:		
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	(28,814)	(10,382)
Deposits with clearing organizations.....	(9,710)	(6,382)
Receivable from brokers and clearing organizations.....	77,364	(114,182)
Receivable from customers	(16,857)	(73,394)
Income taxes receivable.....	(8,667)	5,466
Securities purchased under agreement to resell	(267,103)	(161,399)
Securities owned.....	(155,031)	(56,196)
Notes receivable	(13,587)	(19,111)
Other	(13,485)	(12,341)
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:		
Drafts payable.....	(10,917)	(23,406)
Payable to brokers and clearing organizations.....	(30,976)	278,345
Payable to customers	8,173	(74,218)
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase.....	308,419	169,780
Securities sold, but not yet purchased	50,131	32,194
Accrued compensation	(61,102)	(42,137)
Accounts payable and other liabilities.....	48,789	19,307
Cash used in operating activities	<u>(76,671)</u>	<u>(60,690)</u>

(Continued on next page)

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – Continued

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Expressed in thousands of dollars		
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of office facilities	(5,607)	(4,155)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(5,607)</u>	<u>(4,155)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Cash dividends paid on Class A non-voting and Class B common stock	(2,932)	(2,881)
Issuance of Class A non-voting common stock	2,002	–
Repurchase of Class A non-voting common stock for cancellation	–	(559)
Tax shortfall from share-based compensation	(104)	(27)
Senior secured credit note repayments	(1,000)	(10,360)
Increase in bank call loans, net	62,400	80,100
Cash provided by financing activities	<u>60,366</u>	<u>66,273</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(21,912)	1,428
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	68,918	46,685
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$47,006</u>	<u>\$48,113</u>
Schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Employee share plan issuance	\$1,765	\$1,687
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the periods for interest	\$10,189	\$7,730
Cash paid during the periods for income taxes	\$8,020	\$3,064

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Expressed in thousands of dollars		
Share capital		
Balance at beginning of period.....	\$47,824	\$43,653
Issuance of Class A non-voting common stock.....	3,767	1,687
Repurchase of Class A non-voting common stock for cancellation.....	–	(559)
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$51,591</u>	<u>\$44,781</u>
Contributed capital		
Balance at beginning of period.....	\$41,978	\$34,924
Vested employee share plan awards.....	(1,710)	(177)
Tax shortfall from share-based awards.....	(104)	(27)
Share-based expense.....	4,101	3,324
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$44,265</u>	<u>\$38,044</u>
Retained earnings		
Balance at beginning of period.....	\$362,188	\$348,477
Net profit for the period attributable to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.	18,370	5,116
Dividends (\$0.22 per share in 2010 and 2009).....	(2,932)	(2,881)
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$377,626</u>	<u>\$350,712</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		
Balance at beginning of period.....	\$(543)	\$(1,328)
Currency translation adjustment.....	(231)	(319)
Change in cash flow hedges, net of tax.....	(817)	971
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$(1,591)</u>	<u>\$(676)</u>
Stockholders' Equity of Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.	<u>\$471,891</u>	<u>\$432,861</u>
Non-controlling interest		
Grant of non-controlling interest.....	\$784	–
Net profit attributable to non-controlling interest for the period, net of tax.....	856	–
Balance at end of period.....	<u>\$1,640</u>	<u>–</u>
Total equity.....	<u>\$473,531</u>	<u>\$432,861</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

1. Summary of significant accounting policies

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. ("OPY") is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. On May 11, 2009, the jurisdiction of incorporation of OPY was changed from Canada to Delaware. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of OPY and its subsidiaries (together, the "Company"). The principal subsidiaries of OPY are Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. ("Oppenheimer"), a registered broker dealer in securities, Oppenheimer Asset Management Inc. ("OAM") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Oppenheimer Investment Management Inc. ("OIM"), both registered investment advisors under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, Oppenheimer Trust Company, a limited purpose trust company chartered by the State of New Jersey to provide fiduciary services such as trust and estate administration and investment management, Oppenheimer Multifamily Housing & Healthcare Finance, Inc. (formerly called Evanston Financial Corporation) ("OMHMF"), which is engaged in mortgage brokerage and servicing, and OPY Credit Corp., which offers syndication as well as trading of issued corporate loans. Oppenheimer E.U. Ltd., based in the United Kingdom, provides institutional equities and fixed income brokerage and corporate financial services and is regulated by the Financial Services Authority. Oppenheimer Investments Asia Limited, based in Hong Kong, China, provides assistance in accessing the U.S. equities markets and limited mergers and acquisitions advisory services to Asia-based companies and is registered by the Securities and Futures Commission. Oppenheimer operates as Fahnestock & Co. Inc. in Latin America. Oppenheimer owns Freedom Investments, Inc. ("Freedom"), a registered broker dealer in securities, which also operates as the BUYandHOLD division of Freedom, offering on-line discount brokerage and dollar-based investing services, and Oppenheimer Israel (OPCO) Ltd., which is engaged in offering investment services in the State of Israel as a local broker dealer. Oppenheimer holds a trading permit on the New York Stock Exchange and is a member of several other regional exchanges in the United States.

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). These accounting principles are set out in the notes to the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year then ended.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company issued 32.66 common shares of its OMHMF subsidiary to two founding members of OMHMF. Accounting standards require the Company

to present non-controlling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) as a separate component of stockholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet. As of June 30, 2010, the Company owns 67.34% of OMHHF and the non-controlling interest recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet was \$1.6 million.

The Company identified certain over-accruals in compensation and related expenses relating to prior periods which the Company has adjusted in the six months ended June 30, 2010. These out-of-period adjustments, which were not material to any prior period, resulted in a decrease to compensation and related expenses of nil and \$3.7 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, which in the opinion of management are normal and recurring and necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The nature of the Company's business is such that the results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for a full year.

Disclosures reflected in these condensed consolidated financial statements comply in all material respects with those required pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") with respect to quarterly financial reporting. The condensed consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2009 has been derived from the audited Consolidated Balance Sheet at that date, but does not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") is effective for financial reporting periods ending after September 15, 2009. The ASC is now the single source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles applicable to non-governmental entities in the United States.

In June 2009, the FASB updated the accounting guidance for transfers of financial assets. The updated guidance eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") and establishes a new "participating interest" definition that must be met for transfers of portions of financial assets to be eligible for sale accounting. In addition, the updated guidance provides clarification and amendments to the derecognition criteria for a transfer to be accounted for as a sale and changes the amount of recognized gains or losses on transfers accounted for as a sale when beneficial interests are received by the transferor. The updated guidance also provides extensive new disclosure requirements for collateral transferred, servicing assets and liabilities, transfers accounted for as sales in securitization and asset-backed financing arrangements when the transferor has continuing involvement with the transferred assets, and transfers of financial assets accounted for as secured borrowings. The

updated guidance is to be applied prospectively to new transfers of financial assets occurring in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company's adoption did not have an impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-12, "Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value Per Share (or its Equivalent)." ASU No. 2009-12 provides guidance about using net asset value to measure the fair value of interests in certain investment funds and requires additional disclosures about interests in investment funds. ASU No. 2009-12 is effective for financial statements issued for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2009, with earlier application permitted. Because this update is consistent with the Company's existing fair value measurement policy for its investment funds, the Company's adoption did not have an impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB updated the accounting guidance for consolidation. The updated guidance amends the consolidation framework for variable interest entities ("VIEs") by requiring enterprises to qualitatively assess the determination of the primary beneficiary of a VIE based on whether the entity (1) has the power to direct matters that most significantly impact the activities of the VIE, and (2) has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The updated guidance changes the consideration of "kick-out" rights in determining if an entity is a VIE, which may cause certain additional entities to now be considered VIEs. The updated guidance requires an ongoing reconsideration of the primary beneficiary. It also amends the events that trigger a reassessment of whether an entity is a VIE. The updated guidance also expands the disclosures required in respect of VIEs. The transition requirements of the updated guidance stipulate that assets, liabilities, and non-controlling interests of the VIE be measured at their carrying amounts as if the statement had been applied from the inception of the VIE with any difference reflected as a cumulative effect adjustment.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-10, "Consolidation – Amendments for Certain Investment Funds", that will indefinitely defer the effective date of the updated VIE accounting guidance for certain investment funds. To qualify for the deferral, the investment fund needs to meet certain attributes of an investment company, does not have explicit or implicit obligations to fund losses of the entity and is not a securitization entity, an asset-backed financing entity, or an entity formerly considered a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE"). The Company's investment funds meet the conditions in ASU No. 2010-10 and qualify for the deferral adoption. Therefore, the Company is not required to consolidate any of its investment funds which are VIEs until further guidance is issued.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurement". ASU No. 2010-06 requires new disclosures regarding transfers of assets and liabilities measured at fair value in and out of Level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy. A reporting

entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfer. ASU No. 2010-06 also provides additional guidance on the level of disaggregation of fair value measurements and disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques. The Company adopted this disclosure requirement in the three months ended March 31, 2010. See note 5 for further details. In addition, ASU No.2010-06 requires the reconciliation of beginning and ending balances for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (i.e., Level 3) to be presented on a gross basis. The Company will adopt this requirement in the reporting period ending March 31, 2011.

3. Earnings per share

Earnings per share was computed by dividing net profit (loss) attributable to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. by the weighted average number of shares of Class A non-voting common stock ("Class A Stock") and Class B voting common stock ("Class B Stock") outstanding. Diluted earnings per share includes the weighted average Class A and Class B Stock outstanding and the effects of warrants issued and Class A Stock granted under share-based compensation arrangements using the treasury stock method, if dilutive.

Earnings per share has been calculated as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands, except share amounts				
Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding.....	13,349,551	13,069,014	13,323,410	13,070,547
Net dilutive effect of warrant, treasury method (1)	-	-	-	-
Net dilutive effect of share-based awards, treasury method (2)	549,816	214,486	567,450	365,162
Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding	<u>13,899,367</u>	<u>13,283,500</u>	<u>13,890,861</u>	<u>13,435,709</u>
Net profit for the period	\$9,862	\$7,130	\$19,226	\$5,116
Net profit attributable to non-controlling interests	660	-	856	-
Net income attributable to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.	<u>\$9,202</u>	<u>\$7,130</u>	<u>\$18,370</u>	<u>\$5,116</u>
Basic earnings per share.....	\$0.69	\$0.55	\$1.38	\$0.39
Diluted earnings per share.....	\$0.66	\$0.54	\$1.32	\$0.38

- (1) As part of the consideration for the 2008 acquisition of a portion of CIBC World Markets Corp.'s U.S. capital markets businesses, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 1 million shares of Class A Stock of the Company at \$48.62 per share exercisable five years from the January 14, 2008 acquisition date. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the effect of the warrant is anti-dilutive.
- (2) For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, the diluted earnings per share computations do not include the anti-dilutive effect of 273,416 and 273,416 shares of Class A Stock granted under share-based compensation arrangements (542,976 and 557,976, respectively for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009).

4. Receivable from and payable to brokers and clearing organizations

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands		
Receivable from brokers and clearing organizations consist of:		
Deposits paid for securities borrowed	\$252,721	\$299,925
Receivable from brokers	3,795	23,019
Securities failed to deliver.....	24,432	20,532
Clearing organizations.....	14,045	17,291
Omnibus accounts.....	15,639	9,192
Other	2,916	20,953
	<u>\$313,548</u>	<u>\$390,912</u>
	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Payable to brokers and clearing organizations consist of:		
Deposits received for securities loaned	\$327,734	\$412,420
Securities failed to receive.....	16,757	21,728
Clearing organizations and other	61,368	1,870
	<u>\$405,859</u>	<u>\$436,018</u>

5. Financial instruments

Securities owned and securities sold but not yet purchased, investments and derivative contracts are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each period. The Company's other financial instruments are generally short-term in nature or have variable interest rates and as such their carrying values approximate fair value, with the exception of notes receivable from employees which are carried at cost.

Securities Owned and Securities Sold, But Not Yet Purchased at Fair Value

	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Owned	Sold	Owned	Sold
Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands				
U.S. Treasury, agency and sovereign obligations	\$201,186	\$123,048	\$84,168	\$74,152
Corporate debt and other obligations.....	21,624	8,679	30,330	7,323
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities	3,195	14	4,035	5
Municipal obligations	73,136	878	34,606	1,707
Convertible bonds.....	37,588	12,380	35,001	12,121
Corporate equities.....	33,562	36,676	43,728	36,286
Other	23,111	195	6,504	145
Total	<u>\$393,403</u>	<u>\$181,870</u>	<u>\$238,372</u>	<u>\$131,739</u>

Securities owned and securities sold, but not yet purchased, consist of trading and investment securities at fair values. Included in securities owned at June 30, 2010 are corporate equities with estimated fair values of approximately \$12.4 million (\$13.1 million at December 31, 2009), which are related to deferred compensation liabilities to certain employees included in accrued compensation on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Valuation Techniques

A description of the valuation techniques applied and inputs used in measuring the fair value of the Company's financial instruments is as follows:

U.S. Treasury Obligations

U.S. Treasury securities are valued using quoted market prices obtained from active market makers and inter-dealer brokers and, accordingly, are categorized in Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

U.S. Agency Obligations

U.S. agency securities consist of agency issued debt securities and mortgage pass-through securities. Non-callable agency issued debt securities are generally valued using quoted market prices. Callable agency issued debt securities are valued by benchmarking model-derived prices to quoted market prices and trade data for identical or comparable securities. The fair value of mortgage pass-through securities are model driven with respect to spreads of the comparable To-be-announced ("TBA") security. Actively traded non-callable agency issued debt securities are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Callable agency issued debt securities and mortgage pass-through securities are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Sovereign Obligations

The fair value of sovereign obligations is determined based on quoted market prices when available or a valuation model that generally utilizes interest rate yield curves and credit spreads as inputs. Sovereign obligations are categorized in Level 1 or 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Corporate Debt & Other Obligations

The fair value of corporate bonds is estimated using recent transactions, broker quotations and bond spread information. Corporate bonds are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage and Other Asset-Backed Securities

The Company holds non-agency securities primarily collateralized by home equity and manufactured housing which are valued based on external pricing and spread data provided by independent pricing services and are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. When specific external pricing is not observable, the valuation is based on yields and spreads for comparable bonds and, consequently, the positions are categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Municipal Obligations

The fair value of municipal obligations is estimated using recently executed transactions, broker quotations, and bond spread information. These obligations are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; in instances where significant inputs are unobservable, they are categorized in Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Convertible Bonds

The fair value of convertible bonds is estimated using recently executed transactions and dollar-neutral price quotations, where observable. When observable price quotations are not available, fair value is determined based on cash flow models using yield curves and bond spreads as key inputs. Convertible bonds are generally categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; in instances where significant inputs are unobservable, they are categorized in Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Corporate Equities

Exchange-traded equity securities and options are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange and categorized as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company held one exchange membership seat with the Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE") which was converted to 80,000 common shares when CBOE's parent company, CBOE Holdings, was publicly listed on June 14, 2010. The Company sold 20,000 shares in the initial public offering at \$29 per share and continues to hold 60,000 shares that are restricted for sale in increments of 30,000 with a six month restriction period ("A-1 Shares") and 30,000 shares with a twelve month restriction period ("A-2 Shares"). The Company uses the Black-Scholes model to calculate the value of a call option to purchase CBOE Holdings which is used as a proxy for the discount associated with the selling restrictions. The inputs into the Black-Scholes model include the volatility of CBOE Holdings common shares and yields associated with six month Treasury bills and twelve month Treasury notes. At June 30, 2010, the Company valued the restricted shares at \$1.7 million and recorded an unrealized gain of \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The Company has categorized the restricted shares of CBOE Holdings as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Other

As previously reported, during the week ended February 26, 2010, Oppenheimer finalized settlements with each of the New York Attorney General's office ("NYAG") and the Massachusetts Securities Division ("MSD" and, together with the NYAG, the "Regulators") concluding investigations and administrative proceedings by the Regulators concerning Oppenheimer's marketing and sale of auction rate securities ("ARS"). Pursuant to the terms of the settlements, Oppenheimer estimates that it is obligated to purchase up to an aggregate of approximately \$39 million of eligible ARS in the initial 15 month period covered by the settlements with the Regulators. Oppenheimer commenced an initial offer to purchase ARS on May 21, 2010. The Company had purchased approximately \$19.8 million in ARS from its clients at June 30, 2010. The period in which clients are eligible to participate in the initial offer to purchase expires August 4, 2010. The ultimate amount to be redeemed will be impacted by redemptions by issuers and client actions during the period, which cannot be predicted. See further discussion in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market," and, herein, under "Legal Proceedings" and. "Regulatory Environment – Other Regulatory Matters."

In addition to the ARS client purchases of \$19.8 million referred to above, the Company also held \$2.5 million in ARS in its proprietary trading account as a result of the failed auctions in February 2008 as of June 30, 2010. These ARS positions primarily represent Auction Rate Preferred Securities (“ARPS”) issued by closed-end funds and, to a lesser extent, Municipal Auction Rate Securities (“MARS”) which are municipal bonds wrapped by municipal bond insurance and Student Loan Auction Rate Securities (“SLARS”) which are asset-backed securities backed by student loans (collectively referred to as “ARS”).

Interest rates on ARS typically reset through periodic auctions. Due to the auction mechanism and generally liquid markets, ARS have historically been categorized as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. Beginning in February 2008, uncertainties in the credit markets resulted in substantially all of the ARS market experiencing failed auctions. Once the auctions failed, the ARS could no longer be valued using observable prices set in the auctions. The Company has used less observable determinants of the fair value of ARS, including the strength in the underlying credits, announced issuer redemptions, completed issuer redemptions, and announcements from issuers regarding their intentions with respect to their outstanding ARS. The Company has also developed an internal methodology to discount for the lack of liquidity and non-performance risk of the failed auctions. Key inputs include spreads on comparable Treasury yields to derive a discount rate, an estimate of the ARS duration, and yields based on current auctions in comparable securities that have not failed. Due to the less observable nature of these inputs, the Company categorizes ARS in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$391,000 for ARS.

Investments

In its role as general partner in certain hedge funds and private equity funds, the Company holds direct investments in such funds. The Company uses the net asset value of the underlying fund as a basis for estimating the fair value of its investment. Due to the illiquid nature of these investments and difficulties in obtaining observable inputs, these investments are included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following table provides information about the Company's investments in Company-sponsored funds at June 30, 2010.

	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
			Expressed in thousands of dollars	
Hedge Funds(1)....	\$1,401	\$-	Quarterly - Annually	30 - 120 Days
Private Equity Funds(2).....	2,389	4,512	N/A	N/A
Distressed Opportunities Fund(3).....	11,878	-	Semi- Annually	180 Days
Total	<u>\$15,668</u>	<u>\$4,512</u>		

- (1) Includes investments in hedge funds and hedge fund of funds that pursue long/short, event-driven, and activist strategies.
- (2) Includes private equity funds and private equity fund of funds with a focus on diversified portfolios, real estate and global natural resources.
- (3) Hedge fund that invests in distressed debt of U.S. companies.

Derivative Contracts

From time to time, the Company transacts in exchange-traded and over-the-counter derivative transactions to manage its interest rate risk. Exchange-traded derivatives, namely U.S. Treasury futures, Federal funds futures, and Eurodollar futures, are valued based on quoted prices from the exchange and are categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Over-the-counter derivatives, namely interest rate swap and interest rate cap contracts, are valued using a discounted cash flow model and the Black-Scholes model, respectively, using observable interest rate inputs and are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

As described below in "Credit Concentrations", the Company participates in loan syndications and operates as underwriting agent in leveraged financing transactions where it utilizes a warehouse facility provided by Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce ("CIBC") to extend financing commitments to third-party borrowers identified by the Company. The Company uses broker quotations on loans trading in the secondary market as a proxy to determine the fair value of the underlying loan commitment which is categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company also purchases and sells loans in its proprietary trading book where CIBC provides the financing through a loan trading facility. The Company uses broker quotations to determine the fair value of loan positions held which are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company from time to time enters into securities financing transactions that mature on the same date as the underlying collateral. Such transactions are treated as a sale of financial assets and a forward repurchase commitment, or conversely as a purchase of financial

assets and a forward resale commitment. The forward repurchase and resale commitments are valued based on the spread between the market value of the government security and the underlying collateral and are categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company's assets and liabilities, recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, have been categorized based upon the above fair value hierarchy as follows:

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010:

	Fair Value Measurements As of June 30, 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands				
Assets:				
Cash equivalents.....	\$15,127	\$ –	\$ –	\$15,127
Securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes....	13,496	–	–	13,496
Deposits with clearing organizations.....	8,993	–	–	8,993
Securities owned:.....				
U.S. Treasury obligations.....	156,650	–	–	156,650
U.S. Agency obligations	39,417	5,086	–	44,503
Sovereign obligations	33	–	–	33
Corporate debt and other obligations	–	21,624	–	21,624
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities.....	–	3,153	42	3,195
Municipal obligations.....	–	71,283	1,853	73,136
Convertible bonds	–	37,588	–	37,588
Corporate equities	27,155	6,407	–	33,562
Other	2,242	–	20,870	23,112
Securities owned, at fair value	225,497	145,141	22,765	393,403
Investments (1)	606	29,840	16,930	47,376
Derivative contracts (2)	–	4,996	–	4,996
Securities purchased under agreement to resell	–	51,480	–	51,480
Total.....	\$263,719	\$231,457	\$39,694	\$534,871
Liabilities:				
Securities sold, but not yet purchased:				
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$122,914	\$ –	\$ –	\$122,914
U.S. Agency obligations	10	124	–	134
Corporate debt and other obligations	–	8,679	–	8,679
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities.....	–	14	–	14
Municipal obligations.....	–	878	–	878
Convertible bonds	–	12,380	–	12,380
Corporate equities	26,362	10,314	–	36,676
Other	195	–	–	195
Securities sold, but not yet purchased	149,481	32,389	–	181,870
Investments	13	–	–	13
Derivative contracts (3)	322	833	–	1,155
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (4)	–	453,929	–	453,929
Total.....	\$149,816	\$487,151	\$–	\$636,967

- (1) Included in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.
- (2) Included in receivable from brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.
- (3) Included in payable to brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.
- (4) Represents securities sold under agreements to repurchase where the Company has elected the fair value option.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009:

Fair Value Measurements
As of December 31, 2009

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Expressed in thousands of dollars				
Assets:				
Cash equivalents.....	\$13,365	\$ –	\$–	\$13,365
Securities segregated for regulatory and other purposes	11,499	–	–	11,499
Deposits with clearing organizations	7,995	–	–	7,995
Securities owned:				
U.S. Treasury obligations	53,633	–	–	53,633
U.S. Agency obligations	15,928	14,604	–	30,532
Sovereign obligations	3	–	–	3
Corporate debt and other obligations	–	30,330	–	30,330
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities.....	–	3,718	317	4,035
Municipal obligations.....	–	33,531	1,075	34,606
Convertible bonds.....	–	35,001	–	35,001
Corporate equities	35,178	8,550	–	43,728
Other.....	2,054	–	4,450	6,504
Securities owned, at fair value.....	106,796	125,734	5,842	238,372
Investments (1)	11,374	28,972	15,981	56,327
Derivative contracts (2).....	–	5,854	–	5,854
Total	\$151,029	\$160,560	\$21,823	\$333,412
Liabilities:				
Securities sold, but not yet purchased:				
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$73,909	\$–	\$–	\$73,909
U.S. Agency obligations	–	90	–	90
Sovereign obligations	153	–	–	153
Corporate debt and other obligations	–	7,323	–	7,323
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities.....	–	5	–	5
Municipal obligations.....	–	1,707	–	1,707
Convertible bonds.....	–	12,121	–	12,121
Corporate equities	22,112	14,174	–	36,286
Other.....	145	–	–	145
Securities sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value.....	96,319	35,420	–	131,739
Investments (3)	57	–	–	57
Derivative contracts (4).....	178	972	–	1,150
Total	\$96,554	\$36,392	\$–	\$132,946

(1) Included in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

(2) Included in receivable from brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

(3) Included in accounts payable and other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

(4) Included in payable to brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 assets and liabilities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following tables present changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months ending June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Level 3 Assets and Liabilities					Ending Balance
	Opening Balance	Realized Gains (Losses) (6)	Unrealized Gains (Losses) (6) (7)	Purchases, Sales, Issuances, Settlements	Transfers In / Out	

Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands

For the three months ended June 30, 2010

Assets:

Mortgage and other asset-backed securities (1)	\$380	8	8	(53)	(301)	\$42
Municipal obligations (2) ..	975	–	(157)	1,035	–	1,853
Other (3a)	4,450	–	(355)	16,775	–	20,870
Investments (4)	16,890	–	308	348	–	16,930

Liabilities:

none

For the three months ended June 30, 2009

Assets:

Mortgage and other asset-backed securities (1)	\$2,497	67	–	(445)	–	\$2,119
Other (3b)	5,325	–	–	–	–	5,325
Investments (4)	12,031	–	1,500	251	–	13,782

Liabilities:

Mortgage and other asset-backed securities (1)	\$–	–	(5)	(205)	–	\$(210)
Other (3a)	(375)	–	–	50	–	(325)
Derivative contracts (5)	(45)	–	–	45	–	–

- (1) Represents non-agency securities primarily collateralized by home equity and manufactured housing.
- (2) Includes Municipal Auction Rate Securities (“MARS”) issued by municipalities that failed in the auction rate market and select other municipal securities where significant inputs are unobservable
- (3a) Represents Auction Rate Preferred Securities (“ARPS”) issued by closed end funds and Student Loan Auction Rate Securities (“SLARS”) that failed in the auction rate market.
- (3b) Represents ARPS issued by closed end funds that failed in the auction rate market.
- (4) Primarily represents general partner ownership interests in hedge funds and private equity funds sponsored by the Company.
- (5) Represents unrealized losses on excess retention exposure on leveraged finance underwriting activity described below under “Credit Concentrations”.
- (6) Included in principal transactions, net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations, except for investments which are included in other income on the condensed consolidated statement of operations.
- (7) Unrealized gains (losses) are attributable to assets or liabilities that are still held at the reporting date.

The following tables present changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the six months ending June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Level 3 Assets and Liabilities					Ending Balance
	Opening Balance	Realized Gains (Losses) (5)	Unrealized Gains (Losses) (5) (6)	Purchases, Sales, Issuances, Settlements	Transfers In / Out	

Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands

For the six months ended June 30, 2010

Assets:

Mortgage and other asset-backed securities (1)	\$317	6	8	12	(301)	\$42
Municipal obligations (2)	1,075	(4)	(315)	1,035	62	1,853
Other (3a)	4,450	–	(355)	16,775	–	20,870
Investments (4)	15,981	–	326	403	220	16,930

Liabilities:

none

For the six months ended June 30, 2009

Assets:

Convertible bonds	\$815	(124)	–	(691)	–	\$–
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities (1)	1,610	(34)	(1)	592	(48)	2,119
Other (3b)	5,325	–	–	–	–	5,325
Investments (4)	12,087	–	1,357	338	–	13,782

Liabilities:

Mortgage and other asset-backed securities (1)	\$–	–	(5)	(205)	–	\$(210)
Other (3a)	\$(375)	–	–	50	–	\$(325)
Derivative contracts(5)	(2,516)	45	–	2,471	–	–

- (1) Represents non-agency securities primarily collateralized by home equity and manufactured housing.
- (2) Includes Municipal Auction Rate Securities (“MARS”) issued by municipalities that failed in the auction rate market and select other municipal securities where significant inputs are unobservable
- (3a) Represents Auction Rate Preferred Securities (“ARPS”) issued by closed end funds and Student Loan Auction Rate Securities (“SLARS”) that failed in the auction rate market.
- (3b) Represents ARPS issued by closed end funds that failed in the auction rate market.
- (4) Primarily represents general partner ownership interests in hedge funds and private equity funds sponsored by the Company.
- (5) Represents unrealized losses on excess retention exposure on leveraged finance underwriting activity described below under “Credit Concentrations”.
- (6) Included in principal transactions, net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations, except for investments which are included in other income on the condensed consolidated statement of operations.
- (7) Unrealized gains (losses) are attributable to assets or liabilities that are still held at the reporting date.

Fair Value Option

The Company has the option to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each period. The Company may make a fair value option election on an instrument-by-instrument basis at initial recognition of an asset or liability or upon an event that gives rise to a new basis of accounting for that instrument. The Company has elected to apply the fair value option to its loan trading portfolio which resides in OPY Credit Corp. and is included in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Management has elected this treatment as it is consistent with the manner in which the business is managed as well as the way that financial instruments in other parts of the business are recorded. There were no loan positions held in the secondary loan trading portfolio at June 30, 2010 (one position with a par value of \$950,000 and a fair value of \$940,000 at December 31, 2009 which is categorized in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy).

The Company also elected the fair value option for those securities sold under agreements to repurchase ("repurchase agreements") and securities purchased under agreements to resell ("reverse repurchase agreements") that do not settle overnight or have an open settlement date or that are not accounted for as purchase and sale agreements (such as repo-to-maturity transactions). The Company has elected the fair value option for these instruments to more accurately reflect market and economic events in its earnings and to mitigate a potential imbalance in earnings caused by using different measurement attributes (i.e. fair value versus carrying value) for certain assets and liabilities. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of the reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements was \$453.9 million and \$51.5 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the amount of losses related to reverse repurchase agreements was \$20,000 and \$24,600, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the amount of losses related to repurchase agreements was \$117,000 and \$117,000, respectively.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

The Company transacts, on a limited basis, in exchange traded and over-the-counter derivatives for both asset and liability management as well as for trading and investment purposes. Risks managed using derivative instruments include interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, foreign exchange risk. Interest rate swaps and interest rate caps are entered into to manage the Company's interest rate risk associated with floating-rate borrowings. All derivative instruments are measured at fair value and are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet. The Company designates interest rate swaps and interest rate caps as cash flow hedges of floating-rate borrowings.

Cash flow hedges used for asset and liability management

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains or losses on the derivative representing either hedge

ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

On September 29, 2006, the Company entered into interest rate swap transactions to hedge the interest payments associated with its floating rate Senior Secured Credit Note, which is subject to change due to changes in 3-Month LIBOR. See Note 6 for further information. These swaps have been designated as cash flow hedges. Changes in the fair value of the swap hedges are expected to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the interest payments due to changes in 3-Month LIBOR. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the effective portion of the net gain on the interest rate swaps, net of tax, was approximately \$73,000 and \$330,000, respectively, and has been recorded as other comprehensive income on the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss). There was no ineffective portion as at June 30, 2010. The interest rate swaps had a weighted-average fixed interest rate of 5.45% and a weighted-average maturity of 0.75 years at June 30, 2010.

On January 20, 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate cap contract, incorporating a series of purchased caplets with fixed maturity dates ending December 31, 2012, to hedge the interest payments associated with its floating rate Subordinated Note, which is subject to changes in 3-Month LIBOR. See Note 6 for further information. This cap has been designated as a cash flow hedge. Changes in the fair value of the interest rate cap are expected to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the interest payments due to changes in 3-Month LIBOR. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the effective portion of the net loss on the interest rate cap, net of tax, was approximately \$532,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively, and has been recorded as other comprehensive income (loss) on the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss). There was no ineffective portion as at June 30, 2010. The Company paid a premium for the interest rate cap of \$2.4 million which has a strike of 2% and matures December 31, 2012.

Foreign exchange hedges

The Company also utilizes forward and options contracts to hedge the foreign currency risk associated with compensation obligations to Oppenheimer Israel (OPCO) Ltd. employees denominated in New Israeli Shekels.

Derivatives used for trading and investment purposes

Futures contracts represent commitments to purchase or sell securities or other commodities at a future date and at a specified price. Market risk exists with respect to these instruments. Notional or contractual amounts are used to express the volume of these transactions, and do not represent the amounts potentially subject to market risk. At June 30, 2010, the Company had 140 open short contracts for 10-year U.S. Treasury notes with a fair value of \$322,000 used primarily as an economic hedge of interest rate risk associated with a portfolio of fixed income investments.

The Company has some limited trading activities in pass-through mortgage-backed securities eligible to be sold in the "To-be-announced" or TBA market. TBAs provide for the forward or delayed delivery of the underlying instrument with settlement up

to 180 days. The contractual or notional amounts related to these financial instruments reflect the volume of activity and do not reflect the amounts at risk. Unrealized gains and losses on TBAs are recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet in receivable from brokers and clearing organizations and payable to brokers and clearing organizations, respectively, and in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as principal transactions revenue. See the Fair Value of Derivative Instruments tables below for TBAs outstanding at June 30, 2010.

The notional amounts and fair values of the Company's derivatives at June 30, 2010 by product were as follows:

Description	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments As of June 30, 2010	
	Notional	Fair Value
Expressed in thousands of dollars		
Assets:		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments (1)		
Interest rate contracts (3)..... Cap	\$100,000	\$435
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (1)		
Other contracts (3)..... TBAs	\$378,981	\$4,561
Total Assets	\$478,981	\$4,996
Liabilities:		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments (1)		
Interest rate contracts (3)..... Swaps	\$9,000	\$327
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (1)		
Commodity contracts (4)..... U.S Treasury Futures	\$14,000	\$322
Other contracts (4)..... TBAs	383,455	–
Forward Purchase Commitment (2)	2,450,000	506
	\$2,847,455	\$828
Total Liabilities	\$2,856,455	\$1,155

(1) See "Credit Concentrations" for a description of derivative financial instruments.

(2) Forward commitment to repurchase government securities that received sale treatment related to "Repo-to-Maturity" transactions.

(3) Included in receivable from brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

(4) Included in payable to brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The notional amounts and fair values of the Company's derivatives at December 31, 2009 by product were as follows:

		Fair Value of Derivative Instruments As of December 31, 2009	
Description		Notional	Fair Value
Expressed in thousands of dollars			
Assets:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments (1)			
Interest rate contracts (3).....	Cap	\$100,000	\$2,357
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (1)			
Other contracts (3).....	TBAs	\$329,169	\$3,497
Total Assets.....		<u>\$429,169</u>	<u>\$5,854</u>
Liabilities:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments (1)			
Interest rate contracts (3).....	Swaps	<u>\$36,000</u>	<u>\$875</u>
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (1)			
Commodity contracts (4).....	U.S Treasury Futures	\$10,000	\$178
Other contracts (4).....	TBAs	329,169	–
	Forward Purchase Commitment (2)	<u>800,000</u>	<u>97</u>
		<u>\$1,139,169</u>	<u>\$275</u>
Total Liabilities		<u><u>\$1,175,169</u></u>	<u><u>\$1,150</u></u>

- (1) See "Credit Concentrations" for a description of derivative financial instruments.
- (2) Forward commitment to repurchase government securities that received sale treatment related to "Repo-to-Maturity" transactions.
- (3) Included in receivable from brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.
- (4) Included in payable to brokers and clearing organizations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The following table presents the location and fair value amounts of the Company's derivative instruments and their effect on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Hedging Relationship	Description	Location	Recognized in Income	Recognized in Other	Reclassified from	
			on Derivatives	Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Other	Income into Income
			(pre-tax)	Portion	Effective Portion(2)	Gain/
			(Loss)	(after-tax)	(pre-tax)	(Loss)
Expressed in thousands of dollars						
<i>Cash Flow Hedges:</i>						
Interest rate contracts	Swaps (3)	N/A	\$-	\$73	Interest Expense	\$(117)
	Caps	N/A	-	(532)	Other	(38)
<i>Derivatives used for trading and investment:</i>						
Commodity contracts	U.S Treasury	Principal transaction				
	Futures	revenue	(1,022)	-	None	-
	Federal Funds	Principal transaction				
	Futures	revenue	(21)		None	
Foreign exchange contracts ..	Options	Other revenue	7	-	None	-
		Principal transaction				
Other contracts	TBAs	revenue	3,223	-	None	-
	Forward purchase	Principal commitment transaction				
	(4)	revenue	227	-	None	-
Total			<u>\$2,414</u>	<u>\$(459)</u>		<u>\$(155)</u>

- (1) See "Credit Concentrations" for a description of derivative financial instruments.
- (2) There is no ineffective portion included in income for the three months ended June 30, 2010.
- (3) As noted above in "Cash flow hedges used for asset and liability management", interest rate swaps are used to hedge interest rate risk associated with the Senior Secured Credit Note. As a result, changes in fair value of the interest rate swaps are offset by interest rate changes on the outstanding Senior Secured Credit Note balance. There was no ineffective portion as at June 30, 2010.
- (4) Forward commitment to repurchase government securities that received sale treatment related to "Repo-to-Maturity" transactions.

The following table presents the location and fair value amounts of the Company's derivative instruments and their effect on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Hedging Relationship	Description	Location	Recognized in Income	Recognized in Other	Reclassified from	
			on Derivatives	Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Other	Income into
			(pre-tax)	Effective	Effective	(pre-tax)
			Gain/	Portion	Portion(2)	Gain/
			(Loss)	(after-tax)	(pre-tax)	(Loss)
Expressed in thousands of dollars						
<i>Cash Flow Hedges:</i>						
Interest rate contracts	Swaps (3)	N/A	\$-	\$330	Interest Expense	\$(584)
	Caps	N/A	-	(1,156)	Other	(101)
<i>Derivatives used for trading and investment:</i>						
Commodity contracts	U.S Treasury	Principal transaction				
	Futures	revenue	(1,369)	-	None	-
	Federal Funds Futures	Principal transaction revenue	(84)	-	None	-
	Euro-dollar Futures	Principal transaction revenue	(21)	-	None	-
Foreign exchange contracts ..	Options	Other revenue	7	-	None	-
		Principal transaction revenue				
Other contracts	TBAs	revenue	9,925	-	None	-
	Forward purchase (4)	Principal commitment transaction revenue	485	-	None	-
Total			\$8,943	\$(826)		\$(685)

- (1) See "Credit Concentrations" for a description of derivative financial instruments.
- (2) There is no ineffective portion included in income for the six months ended June 30, 2010.
- (3) As noted above in "Cash flow hedges used for asset and liability management", interest rate swaps are used to hedge interest rate risk associated with the Senior Secured Credit Note. As a result, changes in fair value of the interest rate swaps are offset by interest rate changes on the outstanding Senior Secured Credit Note balance. There was no ineffective portion as at June 30, 2010.
- (4) Forward commitment to repurchase government securities that received sale treatment related to "Repo-to-Maturity" transactions.

Collateralized Transactions

The Company enters into collateralized borrowing and lending transactions in order to meet customers' needs and earn residual interest rate spreads, obtain securities for settlement and finance trading inventory positions. Under these transactions, the Company either receives or provides collateral, including U.S. government and agency, asset-backed, corporate debt, equity, and non-U.S. government and agency securities.

The Company obtains short-term borrowings primarily through bank call loans. Bank call loans are generally payable on demand and bear interest at various rates but not exceeding the broker call rate. At June 30, 2010, bank call loans were \$62.4 million (nil at December 31, 2009). At June 30, 2010, the Company had both uncollateralized and collateralized borrowings. The collateralized loans, collateralized by firm securities with market values of approximately \$79.9 million at June 30, 2010, are primarily with two U.S. money center banks. At June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately \$1.2 billion of customer securities under customer margin loans that are available to be pledged, of which the Company has repledged approximately \$254.4 million under securities loan agreements.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had available collateralized letters of credit of \$248.0 million. Collateral for these letters of credit include firm and customer securities with a market value of approximately \$9.3 million and \$316.7 million, respectively, pledged to two financial institutions.

In June 2009, the Company significantly expanded its government trading operations and began financing those operations through the use of repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements. Except as described below, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, principally involving government and agency securities, are carried at amounts at which securities subsequently will be resold or reacquired as specified in the respective agreements and include accrued interest (repo-to-maturity transactions). Repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements are presented on a net-by-counterparty basis, when the repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements are executed with the same counterparty, have the same explicit settlement date, are executed in accordance with a master netting arrangement, the securities underlying the repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements exist in "book entry" form and certain other requirements are met.

Certain of the Company's repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements are carried at fair value as a result of the Company's fair value option election. The Company elected the fair value option for those repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements that do not settle overnight or have an open settlement date or that are not accounted for as purchase and sale agreements (such as repo-to-maturity transactions described above). The Company has elected the fair value option for these instruments to more accurately reflect market and economic events in its earnings and to mitigate a potential imbalance in earnings caused by using different measurement attributes (i.e. fair value versus carrying value) for certain assets and liabilities. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of the reverse

repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements were \$453.9 million and \$51.5 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the amount of losses related to reverse repurchase agreements was \$20,000 and \$24,600, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the amount of losses related to repurchase agreements was \$117,000 and \$117,000, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the gross balances of reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements were \$2.4 billion and \$5.0 billion, respectively. The average daily balance of reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements on a gross basis for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$3.6 billion and \$5.9 billion, respectively. The average daily balance of reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements on a gross basis for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$3.2 billion and \$5.0 billion, respectively.

The Company receives collateral in connection with securities borrowed and reverse repurchase agreement transactions and customer margin loans. Under many agreements, the Company is permitted to sell or repledge the securities received (e.g., use the securities to enter into securities lending transactions, or deliver to counterparties to cover short positions). At June 30, 2010, the fair value of securities received as collateral under securities borrowed transactions and reverse repurchase agreements was \$243.3 million and \$2.4 billion, respectively, of which the Company has re-pledged approximately \$50.6 million under securities loaned transactions and \$2.4 billion under repurchase agreements.

The Company pledges certain of its securities owned for securities lending and repurchase agreements and to collateralize bank call loan transactions. The carrying value of pledged securities owned that can be sold or re-pledged by the counterparty was \$194.8 million as at June 30, 2010 (\$64.8 million at December 31, 2009). The carrying value of securities owned by the Company that have been loaned or pledged to counterparties where those counterparties do not have the right to sell or re-pledge the collateral was \$89.2 million as at June 30, 2010 (\$63.8 million at December 31, 2009).

The Company manages credit exposure arising from repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements by, in appropriate circumstances, entering into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with counterparties that provide the Company, in the event of a customer default, the right to liquidate and the right to offset a counterparty's rights and obligations. The Company also monitors the market value of collateral held and the market value of securities receivable from others. It is the Company's policy to request and obtain additional collateral when exposure to loss exists. In the event the counterparty is unable to meet its contractual obligation to return the securities, the Company may be exposed to off-balance sheet risk of acquiring securities at prevailing market prices.

One of the Company's funds in which a subsidiary of the Company acts as a general partner and also owns a limited partnership interest utilized Lehman Brothers International (Europe) as a prime broker. As of June 30, 2010, Lehman

Brothers International (Europe) held securities with a fair value of \$8.7 million that were segregated and not re-hypothecated.

Credit Concentrations

Credit concentrations may arise from trading, investing, underwriting and financing activities and may be impacted by changes in economic, industry or political factors. In the normal course of business, the Company may be exposed to risk in the event customers, counterparties including other brokers and dealers, issuers, banks, depositories or clearing organizations are unable to fulfill their contractual obligations. The Company seeks to mitigate these risks by actively monitoring exposures and obtaining collateral as deemed appropriate. Included in receivable from brokers and clearing organizations as of June 30, 2010 are receivables from five major U.S. broker-dealers totaling approximately \$162.4 million.

The Company participates in loan syndications through its Debt Capital Markets business. Through OPY Credit Corp., the Company operates as underwriting agent in leveraged financing transactions where it utilizes a warehouse facility provided by CIBC to extend financing commitments to third-party borrowers identified by the Company. The Company has exposure, up to a maximum of 10%, of the excess underwriting commitment provided by CIBC over CIBC's targeted loan retention (defined as "Excess Retention"). The Company quantifies its Excess Retention exposure by assigning a fair value to the underlying loan commitment provided by CIBC (in excess of what CIBC has agreed to retain) which is based on the fair value of the loans trading in the secondary market. To the extent that the fair value of the loans has decreased, the Company records an unrealized loss on the Excess Retention. Underwriting of loans pursuant to the warehouse facility is subject to joint credit approval by the Company and CIBC. The maximum aggregate principal amount of the warehouse facility is \$1.5 billion, of which the Company utilized \$71.8 million and had nil in Excess Retention as of June 30, 2010.

The Company is obligated to settle transactions with brokers and other financial institutions even if its clients fail to meet their obligations to the Company. Clients are required to complete their transactions on settlement date, generally one to three business days after trade date. If clients do not fulfill their contractual obligations, the Company may incur losses. The Company has clearing/participating arrangements with the National Securities Clearing Corporation ("NSCC"), the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC"), R.J. O'Brien & Associates (commodities transactions) and others. With respect to its business in securities purchased under agreement to resell and securities sold under agreement to repurchase, substantially all open contracts at June 30, 2010 are with the FICC. The clearing brokers have the right to charge the Company for losses that result from a client's failure to fulfill its contractual obligations. Accordingly, the Company has credit exposures with these clearing brokers. The clearing brokers can re-hypothecate the securities held on behalf of the Company. As the right to charge the Company has no maximum amount and applies to all trades executed through the clearing brokers, the Company believes there is no maximum amount assignable to this

right. At June 30, 2010, the Company had recorded no liabilities with regard to this right. The Company's policy is to monitor the credit standing of the clearing brokers and banks with which it conducts business.

Through OPY Credit Corp., the Company also participates, with other members of loan syndications, in providing financing commitments under revolving credit facilities in leveraged financing transactions. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$6.2 million committed under such financing arrangements, none of which has been drawn upon.

Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)

VIEs are entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is the party that absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of its expected residual returns, or both, as a result of holding variable interests. The enterprise that is considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE consolidates the VIE.

A subsidiary of the Company serves as general partner of hedge funds and private equity funds that were established for the purpose of providing investment alternatives to both its institutional and qualified retail clients. The Company holds variable interests in these funds as a result of its rights to receive management and incentive fees. The Company's investment in and additional capital commitments to these hedge funds and private equity funds are also considered variable interests. The Company's additional capital commitments are subject to call at a later date and are limited in amount.

The Company assesses whether it is the primary beneficiary of the hedge funds and private equity funds in which it holds a variable interest in the context of the total general and limited partner interests held in these funds by all parties. In each instance, the Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary and therefore need not consolidate the hedge funds or private equity funds. The subsidiaries' general partnership interests, additional capital commitments, and management fees receivable represent its maximum exposure to loss. The subsidiaries' general partnership interests and management fees receivable are included in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The following tables set forth the total VIE assets, carrying value of the subsidiaries' variable interests, and the Company's maximum exposure to loss in Company-sponsored non-consolidated VIEs in which the Company holds variable interests and other non-consolidated VIEs in which the Company holds variable interests as at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

As of June 30, 2010

	Total VIE Assets (1)	Carrying Value of the Company's Variable Interest Assets (2)	Liabilities	Capital Commitments	Maximum Exposure to Loss in Non- consolidated VIEs
	Expressed in thousands of dollars				
Hedge Funds	\$1,579,418	\$790	\$-	\$-	\$790
Private Equity Funds	113,623	35	-	5	40
Total	<u>\$1,693,041</u>	<u>\$825</u>	<u>\$-</u>	<u>\$5</u>	<u>\$830</u>

(1) Represents the total assets of the VIEs and does not represent the Company's interests in the VIEs.

(2) Represents the Company's interests in the VIEs and is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

As of December 31, 2009

	Total VIE Assets	Carrying Value of the Company's Variable Interest Assets (1)	Liabilities	Capital Commitments	Maximum Exposure to Loss in Non- consolidated VIEs
	Expressed in thousands of dollars				
Hedge Funds	\$1,564,486	\$830	\$-	\$-	\$830
Private Equity Funds	123,701	34	-	5	39
Total	<u>\$1,688,187</u>	<u>\$864</u>	<u>\$-</u>	<u>\$5</u>	<u>\$869</u>

(1) Included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

6. Long-term debt

Issued	Maturity Date	Interest Rate at June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands				
Senior Secured Credit				
Note (a)	7/31/2013	4.80%	\$31,503	\$32,503
Subordinated Note (b)	1/31/2014	5.54%	\$100,000	\$100,000

(a) In 2006, the Company issued a Senior Secured Credit Note in the amount of \$125.0 million at a variable interest rate based on LIBOR with a seven-year term to a syndicate led by Morgan Stanley Senior Funding Inc., as agent. In accordance with the Senior Secured Credit Note, the Company has provided certain covenants to the lenders with respect to the maintenance of a minimum fixed charge ratio and maximum leverage ratio and minimum net capital requirements with respect to Oppenheimer.

On December 22, 2008, certain terms of the Senior Secured Credit Note were amended, including (1) revised financial covenant levels that require that (i) the Company maintain a maximum leverage ratio

(total long-term debt divided by EBITDA) of 2.45 at June 30, 2010 and (ii) the Company maintain a minimum fixed charge ratio (EBITDA adjusted for capital expenditures and income taxes divided by the sum of principal and interest payments on long-term debt) of 1.90 at June 30, 2010; (2) an increase in scheduled principal payments as follows: 2009 - \$400,000 per quarter plus \$4.0 million on September 30, 2009 - \$500,000 per quarter plus \$8.0 million on September 30, 2010; (3) an increase in the interest rate to LIBOR plus 450 basis points (an increase of 150 basis points); and (4) a pay-down of principal equal to the cost of any share repurchases made pursuant to the Issuer Bid. In the Company's view, the maximum leverage ratio and minimum fixed charge ratio represent the most restrictive covenants. These ratios adjust each quarter in accordance with the loan terms, and become more restrictive over time. At June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants.

The effective interest rate on the Senior Secured Credit Note for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was 4.80%. Interest expense, as well as interest paid on a cash basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, on the Senior Secured Credit Note was \$388,000 and \$775,000, respectively (\$545,200 and \$1.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009). Of the \$31.5 million principal amount outstanding at June 30, 2010, \$14.4 million of principal is expected to be paid within 12 months.

The obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Note are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries, other than broker-dealer subsidiaries, with certain exceptions, and are collateralized by a lien on substantially all of the assets of each guarantor, including a pledge of the ownership interests in each first-tier broker-dealer subsidiary held by a guarantor, with certain exceptions.

- (b) On January 14, 2008, in connection with the acquisition of the New Capital Markets Business, CIBC made a loan in the amount of \$100.0 million and the Company issued a Subordinated Note to CIBC in the amount of \$100.0 million at a variable interest rate based on LIBOR. The Subordinated Note is due and payable on January 31, 2014 with interest payable on a quarterly basis. The purpose of this note is to support the capital requirements of the New Capital Markets Business. In accordance with the Subordinated Note, the Company has provided certain covenants to CIBC with respect to the maintenance of a minimum fixed charge ratio and maximum leverage ratio and minimum net capital requirements with respect to Oppenheimer.

Effective December 23, 2008, certain terms of the Subordinated Note were amended, including (1) revised financial covenant levels that require that (i) the Company maintain a maximum leverage ratio of 3.00 at June 30, 2010 and (ii) the Company maintain a minimum fixed charge ratio of 1.55 at June 30, 2010; and (2) an increase in the interest rate to LIBOR plus 525 basis points (an increase of 150 basis points). In the Company's view, the maximum leverage ratio and minimum fixed charge ratio represent the most restrictive covenants. These ratios adjust each quarter in accordance with the loan terms, and become more restrictive over time. At June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants.

The effective interest rate on the Subordinated Note for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was 5.54%. Interest expense, as well as interest paid on a cash basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, on the Subordinated Note was \$1.4 million and \$2.8

million, respectively (\$1.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009).

7. Share capital

The following table reflects changes in the number of shares of Class A Stock outstanding for the periods indicated:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Class A Stock outstanding, beginning of period.....	13,241,552	12,968,992	13,118,001	12,899,465
Issued pursuant to the share-based compensation plans	11,470	2,075	135,021	121,602
Repurchased and cancelled pursuant to the Issuer Bid.....	—	—	—	(50,000)
Class A Stock outstanding, end of period.....	<u>13,253,022</u>	<u>12,971,067</u>	<u>13,253,022</u>	<u>12,971,067</u>

8. Net capital requirements

The Company's U.S. broker dealer subsidiaries, Oppenheimer and Freedom, are subject to the uniform net capital requirements of the SEC under Rule 15c3-1 (the "Rule"). Oppenheimer computes its net capital requirements under the alternative method provided for in the Rule which requires that Oppenheimer maintain net capital equal to two percent of aggregate customer-related debit items, as defined in SEC Rule 15c3-3. At June 30, 2010, the net capital of Oppenheimer as calculated under the Rule was \$160.4 million or 13% of Oppenheimer's aggregate debit items. This was \$136.5 million in excess of the minimum required net capital at that date. Freedom computes its net capital requirement under the basic method provided for in the Rule, which requires that Freedom maintain net capital equal to the greater of \$250,000 or 6 2/3% of aggregate indebtedness, as defined. At June 30, 2010, Freedom had net capital of \$5.0 million, which was \$4.7 million in excess of the \$250,000 required to be maintained at that date.

At June 30, 2010, the regulatory capital of Oppenheimer E.U. Ltd. was \$3.1 million which was \$1.3 million in excess of the \$1.8 million required to be maintained at that date. Oppenheimer E.U. Ltd. computes its regulatory capital pursuant to the Fixed Overhead Method prescribed by the Financial Services Authority of the United Kingdom.

At June 30, 2010, the regulatory capital of Oppenheimer Investments Asia Ltd. was \$693,000 which was \$308,000 in excess of the \$385,000 required to be maintained on that date. Oppenheimer Investments Asia Ltd. computes its regulatory capital pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong.

9. Related party transactions

The Company does not make loans to its officers and directors except under normal commercial terms pursuant to client margin account agreements. These loans are fully collateralized by employee-owned securities.

10. Goodwill

Goodwill arose upon the acquisitions of Oppenheimer, Old Michigan Corp., Josephthal & Co. Inc., Grand Charter Group Incorporated and the former U.S. Private Client Division of CIBC World Markets Inc. The Company defines a reporting unit as an operating segment. The Company's goodwill resides in its Private Client Division ("PCD"). Goodwill of a reporting unit is subject to at least an annual test for impairment to determine if the fair value of goodwill of a reporting unit is less than its estimated carrying amount. The Company derives the estimated carrying amount of its operating segments by estimating the amount of stockholders' equity required to support the activities of each operating segment.

The goodwill of a reporting unit is required to be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. As disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company performed an interim impairment analysis between annual tests as of June 30, 2009 due to the significant discount between the Company's market capitalization and its book value at that time. The Company also performed its annual test for goodwill impairment as of December 31, 2009. Neither of the impairment analyses resulted in impairment charges. The PCD operating segment continued to produce strong revenues, cash flows, and earnings in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, reflective of the Company's strong franchise and the attractive economics of the underlying transaction and fee-based revenues in the private wealth management business. Although the price of the Company's stock declined in the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company does not believe that impairment exists as at June 30, 2010.

11. Segment information

The table below presents information about the reported revenue and profit before income taxes of the Company for the periods noted. The Company's segments are described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company's business is conducted primarily in the United States with additional operations in the United Kingdom, Israel, Hong Kong, and Latin America.

The table below presents information about the reported revenue and profit before income taxes of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Asset information by reportable segment is not reported, since the Company does not produce such information for internal use.

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Expressed in thousands of dollars				
Revenue:				
Private Client	\$146,191	\$133,123	\$289,843	\$248,051
Capital Markets.....	91,498	101,544	177,589	177,721
Asset Management	15,265	12,659	31,073	23,914
Other	4,042	3,398	4,666	6,303
Total	<u>\$256,996</u>	<u>\$250,724</u>	<u>\$503,171</u>	<u>\$455,989</u>
Profit (loss) before income taxes:				
Private Client	\$12,033	\$3,467	\$15,158	\$5,969
Capital Markets.....	1,824	4,905	11,362	(710)
Asset Management	3,645	2,911	7,527	3,693
Other	(1,356)	1,693	(2,041)	1,202
Total	<u>\$16,146</u>	<u>\$12,976</u>	<u>\$32,006</u>	<u>\$10,154</u>

12. Subsequent events

On July 30, 2010, the Company announced a cash dividend of \$0.11 per share (totaling \$1.4 million) payable on August 27, 2010 to Class A and Class B Stockholders of record on August 13, 2010.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Reference is also made to the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto found in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Company engages in a broad range of activities in the securities industry, including retail securities brokerage, institutional sales and trading, investment banking (both corporate and public finance), research, market-making, trust services and investment advisory and asset management services. Its principal subsidiaries are Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. ("Oppenheimer") and Oppenheimer Asset Management ("OAM"). As at June 30, 2010, the Company provided its services from 94 offices in 26 states located throughout the United States, offices in Tel Aviv, Israel, Hong Kong, China, and London, England and in two offices in Latin America through local broker-dealers. Client assets entrusted to the Company as at June 30, 2010 totaled approximately \$66.9 billion. The Company provides investment advisory services through OAM and Oppenheimer Investment Management ("OIM") and Oppenheimer's Fahnstock Asset Management, ALPHA and OMEGA Group divisions. The Company provides trust services and products through Oppenheimer Trust Company. The Company provides discount brokerage services through Freedom and through BUYandHOLD, a division of Freedom Investments, Inc. Through OPY Credit Corp., the Company offers syndication as well as trading of issued corporate loans. Oppenheimer Multifamily Housing & Healthcare Finance, Inc. (formerly called Evanston Financial Corporation) ("OMHHF") is engaged in mortgage brokerage and servicing. At June 30, 2010, client assets under management by the asset management groups totaled approximately \$14.7 billion. At June 30, 2010, the Company employed 3,602 employees (3,487 full time and 115 part time), of whom approximately 1,427 were financial advisors.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's accounting policies are essential to understanding and interpreting the financial results reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The significant accounting policies used in the preparation of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements are summarized in notes 1 and 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto found in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Certain of those policies are considered to be particularly important to the presentation of the Company's financial results because they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments, often as a result of matters that are inherently uncertain.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, there were no material changes to matters discussed under the heading "Critical Accounting Policies" in Part II, Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Business Environment

The securities industry is directly affected by general economic and market conditions, including fluctuations in volume and price levels of securities and changes in interest rates, inflation, political events, investor participation levels, legal and regulatory, accounting, tax and compliance requirements and competition, all of which have an impact on commissions, firm trading, fees from accounts under investment management as well as fees for investment banking services, and investment income as well as on liquidity. Substantial fluctuations can occur in revenue and net income due to these and other factors.

The U.S. economy grew for the third consecutive quarter, albeit at a slower rate than previous quarters. Labor markets are slowly improving and, while U.S. consumer confidence has declined in recent weeks, spending continues to increase. Credit charge-offs seem to have topped out for this cycle, but the recovery has slowed as a result of concerns over availability of credit, continuing sluggishness in the housing recovery, and concerns surrounding the impact on the credit markets of the European debt crisis. Although European policymakers have announced stress tests for European banks and aid to some European sovereign credits, markets continue to see significant risks to global recovery. The effects of these concerns have resulted in the lowest interest rates for U.S. government debt in a generation and a weak stock market as investors seek safety in an uncertain environment.

Overall, the Company's revenue improved in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009, boosted by stronger investment banking revenue, higher asset management advisory fees as well as higher interest income. Commission income and principal transactions revenue declined in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as the stock market stalled in early May and declined thereafter due to a decline in consumer confidence and other factors. Net interest revenue for the Company, as well as fees derived from money market funds and FDIC insured deposits of clients, continue to be significantly and adversely affected by the low interest rate environment.

For a number of years, the Company offered auction rate securities ("ARS") to its clients. A significant portion of the market in ARS 'failed' in February 2008 because, in the tight credit market, the dealers are no longer willing or able to purchase the imbalance between supply and demand for ARS. These securities have auctions scheduled on either a 7, 28 or 35 day cycle. Clients of the Company own a significant amount of ARS in their individual accounts. The absence of a liquid market for these securities presents a significant problem to clients and, as a result, to the Company. It should be noted that this is a failure of liquidity and not a default. These securities in almost all cases have not failed to pay interest or principal when due. These securities are fully collateralized for the most part and, for the most part, remain good credits. The Company has not acted as an auction agent for ARS. Some of these ARS have been redeemed at par (100% of issue value) plus accrued dividends by their issuers thus reducing the scope of the issue for clients and the Company. However, in excess of fifty percent of the overall ARS issued into the ARS

market remain outstanding and the rate of redemptions has slowed in the second quarter of 2010 compared to previous quarters.

The Company's clients held at Oppenheimer approximately \$597.2 million of ARS at June 30, 2010, exclusive of amounts that 1) were owned by Qualified Institutional Buyers ("QIBs"), 2) were transferred to the Company, 3) were purchased by clients after February 2008, or 4) were transferred from the Company to other securities firms after February 2008. This represents a decrease of approximately \$45.6 million from amounts that our clients held as of April 30, 2010 as a result of redemptions and refinancings of such securities by the issuers of ARS as well as repurchases by the Company as described below.

As previously reported, during the week ended February 26, 2010, Oppenheimer finalized settlements with each of the New York Attorney General's office ("NYAG") and the Massachusetts Securities Division ("MSD" and, together with the NYAG, the "Regulators") concluding investigations and administrative proceedings by the Regulators concerning Oppenheimer's marketing and sale of ARS. Pursuant to the terms of the settlements, Oppenheimer estimates that it is obligated to purchase up to an aggregate of up to approximately \$39 million of eligible ARS in the initial 15 month period covered by the settlements with the Regulators. The ultimate amount to be redeemed will be impacted by redemptions by issuers and client actions during the period, which cannot be predicted. Oppenheimer commenced an initial offer to purchase ARS on May 21, 2010. The Company has purchased approximately \$19.8 million in ARS from its clients at June 30, 2010. The period in which clients are eligible to participate in the initial offer to purchase expires August 4, 2010. See further discussion in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market," and, herein, under "Legal Proceedings" and. "Regulatory Environment – Other Regulatory Matters."

Regulatory Environment

The brokerage business is subject to regulation by, among others, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") in the United States, the Financial Services Authority ("FSA") in the United Kingdom, the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong, the Israeli Securities Authority ("ISA") in Israel and various state securities regulators. Recent events, including the newly enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank"), will change the self-regulatory framework for financial institutions in the United States and around the world. The changes will likely significantly reduce leverage available to financial institutions and increase transparency to regulators and investors of risks taken by such institutions. Dodd-Frank requires significant rule-making by the SEC and other regulators as well as requiring studies, the results of which are likely to change the regulatory and business environment in ways that cannot presently be predicted. Dodd-Frank and proposals in the United Kingdom

will reassign the regulation of certain activities and certain financial institutions to new regulators or to existing regulators who will take on new responsibilities to regulate and/or prohibit proprietary trading for certain deposit taking institutions, control the amount and timing of compensation to “highly paid” employees, require registration and reporting by previously unregistered entities, create new regulations around financial transactions with consumers, and possibly create a tax on securities transactions. In addition, a study mandated by Dodd-Frank requires the SEC to assess the implications of imposing a new fiduciary duty on broker-dealers. Such regulations will likely increase compliance and training costs and reduce returns earned by financial service providers. Any such action could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Factors Affecting “Forward-Looking Statements.”.

The impact of the rules and requirements that were created by the passage of the Patriot Act, and the anti-money laundering regulations (AML) in the U.S. and similar laws in other countries that are related thereto, have created significant costs of compliance and can be expected to continue to do so.

Pursuant to FINRA Rule 3130 (formerly NASD Rule 3013 and NYSE Rule 342), the chief executive officers (“CEOs”) of regulated broker-dealers (including the CEO of Oppenheimer) are required to certify that their companies have processes in place to establish and test supervisory policies and procedures reasonably designed to achieve compliance with federal securities laws and regulations, including applicable regulations of self-regulatory organizations. The CEO of the Company is required to make such a certification on an annual basis and did so in March 2010.

Other Regulatory Matters

As noted above, during the week ended February 26, 2010, Oppenheimer finalized settlements with each of the NYAG and the MSD concluding investigations and administrative proceedings by the Regulators concerning Oppenheimer’s marketing and sale of ARS. As a result, the Company will purchase eligible ARS from eligible clients pursuant to those settlements. Based on the terms of the settlements, the Company commenced, on May 21, 2010, an initial national offer to eligible clients who currently hold accounts at Oppenheimer to purchase ARS. Eligible clients’ accounts were aggregated on a “household” basis for the initial purchase offer and will be likewise aggregated for subsequent offers to purchase. The Company has purchased approximately \$19.8 million in ARS from its clients at June 30, 2010. The period in which clients are eligible to participate in the initial offer to purchase expires August 4, 2010. See Item 3, “Legal Proceedings,” for the details of the settlements.

The Company will make subsequent offers to eligible clients holding eligible ARS based on the availability of funds for such purpose. As a result of this limitation, it is unlikely that the Company will be required over any short period of time to purchase all of the ARS currently held by the Company’s former or current clients who purchased ARS prior to the beginning of the market’s failure in February 2008. The Company will continue to assess whether it has sufficient regulatory capital or borrowing capacity to make any purchases of ARS beyond those agreed upon

in the settlements described above. The Company estimates that it is obligated to purchase up to an aggregate of up to approximately \$39 million of eligible ARS in the initial 15 month period covered by settlements with the Regulators. Such purchases will be paid for from available funds. The ultimate amount to be redeemed will be impacted by redemptions by issuers and client actions during the period, which cannot be predicted. The Company believes that the cumulative amount of ARS which it may purchase pursuant to the terms of the settlements will not create a condition that would have a material adverse affect on the Company's financial statements. The Company is continuing to cooperate with regulators from other states conducting investigations surrounding sales of ARS. Notwithstanding the foregoing settlements, the Company remains as a named respondent in a number of arbitrations by its current or former clients as well as lawsuits, including a class action lawsuit, related to its sale of ARS. See further discussion in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market," and, herein, under "Legal Proceedings".

Other Matters

A subsidiary of the Company was the administrative agent for two closed-end funds until December 5, 2005. The Company has been advised by the current administrative agent for these two funds that the Internal Revenue Service may file a claim for interest and penalties that would approximate \$5 million for one of these funds with respect to the 2004 tax year as a result of an alleged failure of the Company's subsidiary to take certain actions. The Company will continue to monitor developments in this matter.

The Company operates in all state jurisdictions in the United States and is thus subject to regulation and enforcement under the laws and regulations of each of these jurisdictions. The Company has been and expects that it will continue to be subject to investigations and some or all of these may result in enforcement proceedings as a result of its business conducted in the various states.

As part of its ongoing business, the Company records reserves for legal expenses, judgments, fines and/or awards attributable to litigation and regulatory matters. In connection therewith, the Company has maintained its legal reserves at levels it believes will resolve outstanding matters, but may increase or decrease such reserves as matters warrant. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company establishes reserves for litigation and regulatory matters when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. When loss contingencies are not both probable and estimable, the Company does not establish reserves. In some of the matters described below in Item 3, Legal Proceedings, including but not limited to the U.S Airways matter, loss contingencies are not probable and estimable in the view of management and, accordingly, reserves have not been established for those matters.

Business Continuity

The Company is committed to an on-going investment in its technology and communications infrastructure including extensive business continuity planning and investment. These costs are on-going and the Company believes that current and future costs will remain

high due to business and regulatory requirements. This investment has increased in recent years as a result of the acquisition of the capital markets businesses from Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce in 2008. The Company is presently moving its IT Center to a new location and that move is expected to be completed in the 3rd quarter of 2010.

Outlook

The Company's long term plan is to continue to expand existing offices by hiring experienced professionals as well as through the purchase of operating branch offices from other broker dealers or the opening of new branch offices in attractive locations, thus maximizing the potential of each office and the development of existing trading, investment banking, investment advisory and other activities. Equally important is the search for viable acquisition candidates. As opportunities are presented, it is the long-term intention of the Company to pursue growth by acquisition where a comfortable match can be found in terms of corporate goals and personnel at a price that would provide the Company's stockholders with incremental value. The Company may review additional potential acquisition opportunities, and will continue to focus its attention on the management of its existing business. In addition, the Company is committed to improving its technology capabilities to support client service and the expansion of its capital markets capabilities.

Results of Operations

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. reported a net profit of \$9.2 million or \$0.69 per share for the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net profit of \$7.1 million or \$0.55 per share in the second quarter of 2009. Revenue for the second quarter of 2010 was \$257.0 million, compared to revenue of \$250.7 million in the second quarter of 2009, an increase of 2.5%. Client assets entrusted to the Company and under management totaled approximately \$66.9 billion while client assets under fee-based programs offered by the asset management groups totaled approximately \$14.7 billion at June 30, 2010 (\$55.3 billion and \$13.6 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2009).

Net profit for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$18.4 million or \$1.38 per share compared to \$5.1 million or \$0.39 per share in the same period of 2009. Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$503.2 million, an increase of 10.3% compared to \$456.0 million in the same period of 2009.

The following table and discussion summarizes the changes in the major revenue and expense categories for the periods presented:

	Three months ended June 30, 2010 versus 2009		Six months ended June 30, 2010 versus 2009	
	Period to Period Change	Percentage Change	Period to Period Change	Percentage Change
Expressed in thousands of dollars				
Revenue:				
Commissions	\$(3,131)	-2.2%	\$11,270	4.2%
Principal transactions, net	(13,423)	-44.4%	(17,985)	-32.7%
Interest	2,530	29.2%	4,586	28.3%
Investment banking	14,427	65.8%	31,019	101.7%
Advisory fees	8,473	23.9%	15,503	21.8%
Other	(2,604)	-22.2%	2,789	16.8%
Total revenue	<u>6,272</u>	2.5%	<u>47,182</u>	10.3%
Expenses:				
Compensation and related				
expenses	(3,598)	-2.1%	13,919	4.5%
Clearing and exchanges fees	1,088	16.2%	1,912	15.3%
Communications and				
technology	1,770	12.2%	(1,541)	-4.5%
Occupancy and equipment				
costs	(21)	-0.1%	206	0.6%
Interest	1,346	26.7%	1,104	10.4%
Other	2,517	10.0%	9,730	22.4%
Total expenses	<u>3,102</u>	1.3%	<u>25,330</u>	5.7%
Profit before income taxes	3,170	24.4%	21,852	215.2%
Income tax provision	438	7.5%	7,742	153.7%
Net profit	2,732	38.3%	14,110	275.8%
Net profit attributable to non-				
controlling interest, net of tax	<u>660</u>	n/a	<u>856</u>	n/a
Net profit attributable to				
Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.	<u>\$2,072</u>	29.1%	<u>\$13,254</u>	259.1%

Revenue - Second Quarter 2010

Commission revenue was \$139.6 million for the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 2.2% compared to \$142.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. Weak investor sentiment and volatile markets in the 2010 period contributed to the decline.

Principal transactions revenue was \$16.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$30.2 million in the second quarter of 2009, a decrease of 44.4%. The decrease stems from lower income from firm investments (a net loss of \$144,000 for the second quarter of 2010 compared to income of \$6.4 million for the second quarter of 2009), and lower fixed income trading revenue (\$17.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$23.5 million in the second quarter of 2009). The net loss from firm investments included realized and unrealized gains of approximately \$2.2 million which arose from the conversion of the Company's Chicago Board Options Exchange membership into 80,000 shares by way of an IPO that closed on June 14, 2010. The Company sold 20,000 shares in June 2010.

Interest revenue was \$11.2 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 29.2% compared to \$8.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to interest

earned on reverse repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.

Investment banking revenue was \$36.3 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 65.8% compared to \$21.9 million in the second quarter of 2009 with increased revenue from equity issuance of \$5.2 million and fee income related to private placements of \$10.8 million, offset by a decrease of \$4.3 million in advisory services.

Advisory fees were \$44.0 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 23.9% compared to \$35.5 million in the second quarter of 2009. Asset management fees increased by \$14.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of an increase in the value of assets under management of 47.8% during the period. Asset management fees are calculated based on client assets under management at the end of the prior quarter which totaled \$17.0 billion at March 31, 2010 (\$11.5 billion at March 31, 2009). This increase was offset by a decrease of \$4.2 million in fees from money market funds as a result of waivers of \$5.7 million on fees that otherwise would have been due from money market funds (\$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2009).

Other revenue was \$9.1 million in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 22.2% compared to \$11.7 million in the second quarter of 2009 primarily as a result of a \$6.2 million decrease in the mark-to-market value of Company-owned life insurance policies that relate to our employee deferred compensation programs. This decline was offset by increased fees generated from Oppenheimer Multifamily Housing & Healthcare Finance, Inc. ("OMHFF") (formerly called Evanston Financial Corporation) in the amount of \$3.6 million.

Revenue – Year-to-date 2010

Commission revenue was \$277.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 4.2% compared to \$266.5 million in the same period of 2009.

Principal transactions revenue was \$37.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$54.9 million in the same period of 2009, a decrease of 32.7%. The decrease stems from lower income from firm investments (a net loss of \$413,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to income of \$5.6 million for the same period of 2009 and lower fixed income trading revenue (\$36.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$46.7 million in the same period of 2009). These declines were partially offset by an increase in U.S. government trading income which amounted to \$3.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$1.6 million for the same period of 2009.

Interest revenue was \$20.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 28.3% compared to \$16.2 million in the same period of 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to interest earned on reverse repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.

Investment banking revenue was \$61.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 101.7% compared to \$30.5

million in the same period of 2009 with increased revenue from equity issuance of \$15.0 million and fee income associated with private placements of \$11.2 million.

Advisory fees were \$86.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 21.8% compared to \$71.3 million in the same period of 2009. Asset management fees increased by \$25.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of an increase in the value of assets under management during the period. This increase was offset by a decrease of \$9.7 million in fees from money market funds as a result of waivers of \$11.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 on fees that otherwise would have been due from money market funds (\$2.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009).

Other revenue was \$19.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 16.8% compared to \$16.6 million in the same period of 2009 primarily as a result of a \$6.0 million increase in fees generated from OMHHF in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period on 2009.

Expenses – Second Quarter 2010

Compensation and related expenses decreased 2.1% in the second quarter of 2010 to \$164.3 million compared to \$167.9 million in the second quarter of 2009. Decreases in share-based compensation expense and deferred compensation expense of \$3.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, were partially offset by increases in salary and related expenses in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009.

Clearing and exchange fees increased 16.2% to \$7.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$6.7 million in the same period of 2009 partly due to trade execution costs related to the government trading business.

Communications and technology expenses increased 12.2% to \$16.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$14.5 million in the same period of 2009 due primarily to increases of \$435,000 and \$495,000, respectively, in IT-related and telecommunications expenses in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter of 2009.

Occupancy and equipment costs of \$18.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 were flat compared to \$18.3 million in the second quarter of 2009.

Interest expenses increased 26.7% to \$6.4 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$5.0 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to interest expense incurred on positions and repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.

Other expenses increased 10.0% to \$27.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$25.3 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to increased legal costs of approximately \$2.4 million as a result of increased client litigation and arbitration activity as well as legal costs to resolve regulatory matters.

Expenses – Year-to-date 2010

Compensation and related expenses increased 4.5% in the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$322.5 million compared to \$308.6 million in the same period of 2009. Decreases in share-based compensation expense and deferred compensation expense of \$7.2 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, were partially offset by increases in salary and related expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009.

Clearing and exchange fees increased 15.3% to \$14.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$12.5 million in the same period of 2009 partly due to trade execution costs related to the government trading business.

Communications and technology expenses decreased 4.5% to \$32.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$34.3 million in the same period of 2009 due primarily to lower market data costs in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009.

Occupancy and equipment costs of \$36.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 were flat compared to \$36.5 million in the same period of 2009.

Interest expenses increased 10.4% to \$11.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$10.6 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to interest expense incurred on positions and repurchase agreements held by the government trading desk which began operations in June 2009.

Other expenses increased 22.4% to \$53.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 from \$43.4 million in the same period in 2009 primarily due to increased legal costs of approximately \$6.9 million as a result of increased client litigation and arbitration activity as well as legal costs to resolve regulatory matters.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Total assets at June 30, 2010 increased by 18% from December 31, 2009 levels due in large part to the Company's expansion of its government trading desk which began in June 2009. The Company satisfies its need for short-term funds from internally generated funds and collateralized and uncollateralized borrowings, consisting primarily of bank loans, stock loans and uncommitted lines of credit. The Company finances its trading in government securities through the use of repurchase agreements. The Company's longer-term capital needs have been met through the issuance of the Senior Secured Credit Note and the Subordinated Note. The amount of Oppenheimer's bank borrowings fluctuates in response to changes in the level of the Company's securities inventories and customer margin debt, changes in stock loan balances and changes in notes receivable from employees. The Company believes that such availability will continue going forward but current conditions in the credit markets may make the availability of bank financing more challenging in the months ahead. Oppenheimer has arrangements with banks for borrowings both on an uncollateralized and on a collateralized basis. At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$62.4 million of such borrowings outstanding compared to outstanding

borrowings of nil at December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, the Company had available collateralized and uncollateralized letters of credit of \$248.0 million.

Volatility in the financial markets, and the continuance of credit problems throughout the national economy, continues to have an adverse affect on the availability of credit through traditional sources. As a result of concern about the ability of markets generally and the strength of counterparties specifically, many lenders have reduced funding to the Company on both a secured and unsecured basis. While there has been some easing of credit from a single lender, the current environment has not been conducive to new financing or the renegotiation of existing loans.

In February 2010, Oppenheimer reached settlement agreements with the Regulators with respect to clients' ownership and holdings of ARS. Under the terms of those settlements, the Company has agreed to purchase, in aggregate, ARS with a par value of approximately \$31.5 million at December 31, 2009, from eligible clients no later than August 7, 2010 and to establish redemption funds of \$4.5 million and \$2.8 million no later than August 28, 2010 and February 29, 2011, respectively. The Company estimates that it is obligated to purchase an aggregate of approximately \$39 million of eligible ARS in the initial 15 month period covered by the settlements with the Regulators. The ultimate amount to be redeemed will be impacted by redemptions by issuers and client actions during the period, which cannot be predicted. Oppenheimer commenced an initial offer to purchase ARS on May 21, 2010. The Company has purchased approximately \$19.8 million in ARS from its clients at June 30, 2010. The period in which clients are eligible to participate in the initial offer to purchase expires August 4, 2010. See Item 3 "Legal Proceedings" for the details of the settlements.

Oppenheimer will make subsequent offers to eligible clients holding eligible ARS based on the Company's availability of funds for such purpose, the amount of which the Company believes, pursuant to the terms of the settlements, will not create a condition that would have a material adverse affect on the Company's financial statements. As a result, it is unlikely that the Company will be required over any short period of time to purchase all of the ARS currently held by the Company's former or current clients who purchased ARS prior to the beginning of the market's failure in February 2008. In future periods the Company, pursuant to the Settlements, will assess whether it has sufficient regulatory capital or borrowing capacity to make any purchases of ARS beyond those agreed upon in the settlements described above. Notwithstanding the foregoing settlements, the Company remains as a named respondent in a number of arbitrations by its current or former clients as well as lawsuits, including a class action lawsuit, related to its sale of ARS. See further discussion in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market," and, herein, under "Legal Proceedings".

In 2006, the Company issued a Senior Secured Credit Note in the amount of \$125.0 million at a variable interest rate based on LIBOR with a seven-year term to a syndicate led by Morgan

Stanley Senior Funding Inc., as agent. In accordance with the Senior Secured Credit Note, the Company has provided certain covenants to the lenders with respect to the maintenance of a minimum fixed charge ratio and maximum leverage ratio and minimum net capital requirements with respect to Oppenheimer.

On December 22, 2008, certain terms of the Senior Secured Credit Note were amended, including (1) revised financial covenant levels that require that (i) the Company maintain a maximum leverage ratio (total long-term debt divided by EBITDA) of 2.45 at June 30, 2010 and (ii) the Company maintain a minimum fixed charge ratio (EBITDA adjusted for capital expenditures and income taxes divided by the sum of principal and interest payments on long-term debt) of 1.90 at June 30, 2010; (2) an increase in scheduled principal payments as follows: 2009 - \$400,000 per quarter plus \$4.0 million on September 30, 2009 - \$500,000 per quarter plus \$8.0 million on September 30, 2010; (3) an increase in the interest rate to LIBOR plus 450 basis points (an increase of 150 basis points); and (4) a pay-down of principal equal to the cost of any share repurchases made pursuant to the Issuer Bid. In the Company's view, the maximum leverage ratio and minimum fixed charge ratio represent the most restrictive covenants. These ratios adjust each quarter in accordance with the loan terms, and become more restrictive over time. At June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants.

The effective interest rate on the Senior Secured Credit Note for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was 4.80%. Interest expense, as well as interest paid on a cash basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, on the Senior Secured Credit Note was \$388,000 and \$775,000, respectively (\$545,200 and \$1.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009). Of the \$31.5 million principal amount outstanding at June 30, 2010, \$14.4 million of principal is expected to be paid within 12 months.

The obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Note are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries, other than broker-dealer subsidiaries, with certain exceptions, and are collateralized by a lien on substantially all of the assets of each guarantor, including a pledge of the ownership interests in each first-tier broker-dealer subsidiary held by a guarantor, with certain exceptions.

On January 14, 2008, in connection with the acquisition of the New Capital Markets Business, CIBC made a loan in the amount of \$100.0 million and the Company issued a Subordinated Note to CIBC in the amount of \$100.0 million at a variable interest rate based on LIBOR. The Subordinated Note is due and payable on January 31, 2014 with interest payable on a quarterly basis. The purpose of this note is to support the capital requirements of the New Capital Markets Business. In accordance with the Subordinated Note, the Company has provided certain covenants to CIBC with respect to the maintenance of a minimum fixed charge ratio and maximum leverage ratio and minimum net capital requirements with respect to Oppenheimer.

Effective December 23, 2008, certain terms of the Subordinated Note were amended, including (1) revised financial covenant

levels that require that (i) the Company maintain a maximum leverage ratio of 3.00 at June 30, 2010 and (ii) the Company maintain a minimum fixed charge ratio of 1.55 at June 30, 2010; and (2) an increase in the interest rate to LIBOR plus 525 basis points (an increase of 150 basis points). In the Company's view, the maximum leverage ratio and minimum fixed charge ratio represent the most restrictive covenants. These ratios adjust each quarter in accordance with the loan terms, and become more restrictive over time. At June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants.

The effective interest rate on the Subordinated Note for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was 5.54%. Interest expense, as well as interest paid on a cash basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, on the Subordinated Note was \$1.4 million and \$2.8 million, respectively (\$1.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009).

Funding Risk

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands		
Cash used in operating activities	\$(76,671)	\$(60,690)
Cash used in investing activities	(5,607)	(4,155)
Cash provided by financing activities	60,366	66,273
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$(21,912)</u>	<u>\$1,428</u>

Management believes that funds from operations, combined with the Company's capital base and available credit facilities, are sufficient for the Company's liquidity needs in the foreseeable future. (See Factors Affecting "Forward-Looking Statements").

Other Matters

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company issued 11,470 shares of Class A Stock pursuant to the Company's share-based compensation programs.

On May 28, 2010, the Company paid cash dividends of \$0.11 per share of Class A and Class B Stock totaling approximately \$1.5 million from available cash on hand.

On July 30, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.11 per share of Class A and Class B Stock payable on August 27, 2010 to stockholders of record on August 13, 2010.

The book value of the Company's Class A and Class B Stock was \$35.34 per share at June 30, 2010 compared to \$33.12 at June 30, 2009, based on total outstanding shares of 13,352,702 and 13,070,747, respectively. The tangible book value of the Company was \$22.18 at June 30, 2010 compared to \$19.31 at June 30, 2009.

The diluted weighted average number of shares of Class A and Class B Stock outstanding for the three months ended June 30,

2010 was 13,899,340 compared to 13,283,500 outstanding for the same period in 2009.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Information concerning the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements is included in Note 5 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Such information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Contractual and Contingent Obligations

The Company has contractual obligations to make future payments in connection with non-cancelable lease obligations and debt assumed upon the acquisition of the New Capital Markets Business as well as debt issued in 2006. The Company also has contractual obligations to make payments to CIBC in connection with deferred compensation earned by former CIBC employees in connection with the acquisition as well as the earn-out to be paid in 2013 as described in note 19 of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 appearing in Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The following table sets forth these contractual and contingent commitments as at June 30, 2010.

	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Expressed in millions of dollars					
Minimum rentals	\$145	\$21	\$68	\$33	\$23
Committed capital	5	5	–	–	–
Earn-out	25	–	25	–	–
Deferred compensation commitments (1).....	30	30	–	–	–
Revolving commitment (2)....	1	–	–	–	1
Senior Secured Credit Note ..	32	14	18	–	–
Subordinated Note	100	–	–	100	–
ARS purchase offers (3)	20	17	3	–	–
Total.....	\$358	\$87	\$114	\$133	\$24

- (1) Represents payments to be made to CIBC in relation to deferred incentive compensation to former CIBC employees for awards made by CIBC pursuant to the January 14, 2008 acquisition agreement by the Company.
- (2) Represents unfunded commitments to provide revolving credit facilities by OPY Credit Corp.
- (3) Represents payments to be made pursuant to the ARS settlements entered into with Regulators in February 2010. See notes 13 and 20 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 appearing in Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Such information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Factors Affecting “Forward-Looking Statements”

From time to time, the Company may publish “Forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act or make oral statements that constitute forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements may relate to such matters as anticipated financial performance, future revenues or earnings, business prospects, projected ventures, new products, anticipated market performance, and similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. In order to comply with the terms of the safe harbor, the Company cautions readers that a variety of factors could cause the Company’s actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in the Company’s forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company’s control, include, but are not limited to: (i) transaction volume in the securities markets, (ii) the volatility of the securities markets, (iii) fluctuations in interest rates, (iv) changes in regulatory requirements which could affect the cost and method of doing business and reduce returns, (v) fluctuations in currency rates, (vi) general economic conditions, both domestic and international, (vii) changes in the rate of inflation and the related impact on the securities markets, (viii) competition from existing financial institutions and other participants in the securities markets, (ix) legal developments affecting the litigation experience of the securities industry and the Company, including developments arising from the failure of the Auction Rate Securities markets, (x) changes in federal and state tax laws which could affect the popularity of products sold by the Company or impose taxes on securities transactions, (xi) the effectiveness of efforts to reduce costs and eliminate overlap, (xii) war and nuclear confrontation, (xiii) the Company’s ability to achieve its business plan, (xiv) corporate governance issues, (xv) the impact of the credit crisis and tight credit markets on business operations, (xvi) the effect of bailout, financial reform and related legislation, (xvii) the consolidation of the banking and financial services industry, (xviii) the effects of the economy on the Company’s ability to find and maintain financing options and liquidity, (xix) credit, operations, legal and regulatory risks, and (xx) risks related to foreign operations. There can be no assurance that the Company has correctly or completely identified and assessed all of the factors affecting the Company’s business. The Company does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, there were no material changes to the information contained in Part II, Item 7A of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Controls and Procedures

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief

Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or its internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include, but are not limited to, the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or omission. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based, in part, upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

The Company confirms that its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that (i) the information required to be disclosed by the Company in its reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and (ii) such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the three months ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

Legal Proceedings

Many aspects of the Company's business involve substantial risks of liability. In the normal course of business, the Company has been the subject of customer complaints and has been named as a defendant or co-defendant in various lawsuits or arbitrations creating substantial exposure. The incidences of these types of claims have increased since the onset of the credit crisis and the resulting market disruptions. The Company is also involved from time to time in certain governmental and self-regulatory agency investigations and proceedings. These proceedings arise primarily from securities brokerage, asset management and investment banking activities. There has been an increased incidence of regulatory investigations in the financial services industry in recent years, including customer claims, which seek substantial penalties, fines or other monetary relief.

While the ultimate resolution of routine pending litigation and other matters cannot be currently determined, in the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, the Company does not believe that the resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition. However, the Company's results of operations could be materially affected during any period if liabilities in that period differ from prior estimates. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an adverse result in any of the matters set forth below would have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition, including its cash position. The materiality of legal matters to the Company's future operating results depends on the level of future results of operations as well as the timing and ultimate outcome of such legal matters. See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market" and herein under "Factors Affecting 'Forward-Looking Statements'" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Regulatory Environment."

Auction Rate Securities Matters

For a number of years, the Company offered Auction Rate Securities ("ARS") to its clients. A significant portion of the market in ARS 'failed' in February 2008 due to credit market conditions, and dealers were no longer willing or able to purchase the imbalance between supply and demand for ARS. See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market" and herein under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Regulatory Environment."

Oppenheimer offered ARS to its clients in the same manner as dozens of other "downstream" firms in the ARS marketplace - as an available cash management option for clients seeking to increase their yields on short-term investments similar to a money market fund. The Company believes that Oppenheimer's participation therefore differs dramatically from that of the larger broker-dealers who underwrote and provided supporting bids in the auctions and who subsequently entered into settlements with

state and federal regulators, agreeing to purchase billions of dollars of their clients' ARS holdings. Unlike these other broker-dealers, Oppenheimer did not act as the lead or sole lead managing underwriter or dealer in any ARS auctions during the relevant time period, did not enter support bids to ensure that any ARS auctions cleared, and played no role in any decision by the lead underwriters or broker-dealers to discontinue entering support bids and allowing auctions to fail.

On April 11, 2008, Oppenheimer (and a number of its affiliates) was named as a defendant in a proposed class action complaint captioned *Bette M. Grossman v. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. et. al.* in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Oppenheimer violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (as well as other provisions of the Federal securities laws) by making material misstatements and omissions and engaging in deceptive activities in the offer and sale of ARS. Oppenheimer filed an answer to the complaint denying the allegations. Oppenheimer believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims raised in the lawsuit and intends to defend against these claims vigorously. On February 20, 2009, this action was consolidated with the *Vining* action described below.

On May 12, 2008, Oppenheimer (and a number of its affiliates) was named as a defendant in a proposed class action complaint captioned *David T. Vining v. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. et. al.* in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Oppenheimer violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (as well as other provisions of the Federal securities laws) by making material misstatements and omissions and engaging in deceptive activities in the offer and sale of ARS. Oppenheimer filed an answer to the complaint denying the allegations. Oppenheimer believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims raised in the lawsuit and intends to defend against these claims vigorously. On February 20, 2009, the *Grossman* action discussed above was consolidated with this action. The action requests relief in the form of compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial as well as costs and expenses. On September 10, 2009, Oppenheimer (and a number of its affiliates) filed a motion to dismiss this consolidated action.

On November 18, 2008 the Massachusetts Securities Division (the "MSD") filed an Administrative Complaint (the "Complaint"), against Oppenheimer & Co. Inc and certain individuals, alleging violations of the Massachusetts General Law, the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act and regulations thereunder with respect to the sale by Oppenheimer of ARS to its clients. The Complaint alleged, inter alia, that Oppenheimer improperly misrepresented the nature of ARS and the overall stability and health of the ARS market. All respondents filed an answer to the Complaint denying that the allegations in the Complaint had any basis in fact or law.

As previously disclosed, Oppenheimer entered into a Consent Order (the "Order") pursuant to the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act on February 26, 2010 settling the pending administrative proceeding against the respondents related to Oppenheimer's sales of ARS to retail and other investors in the

Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Oppenheimer agreed to pay the external costs incurred by the MSD related to the investigation and the administrative proceeding in an amount totaling \$250,000.

Pursuant to the terms of the Order, Oppenheimer commenced an offer to purchase \$25,000 of Eligible ARS (as defined in the Order) from Customer Accounts (as defined in the Order) on May 21, 2010 which will close on August 4, 2010. No later than August 28, 2010, Oppenheimer will establish a Fund for Redemption (the "Fund") capitalized with \$2.25 million and use the Fund for the benefit of eligible Massachusetts Customer Accounts (as defined in the Order) to offer to purchase all Eligible ARS from Eligible Customer Accounts. No later than February 29, 2011, Oppenheimer will deposit into the Fund an additional \$1.40 million to be used, for the benefit of eligible Massachusetts Customer Accounts, to offer to purchase all Eligible ARS from all Massachusetts Customer Accounts. Oppenheimer's associated offers will remain open for a period of seventy-five days from the date on which any offer to purchase is sent.

In addition, Oppenheimer has agreed to work with issuers and other interested parties, including regulatory and other authorities and industry participants, to provide liquidity solutions for other Massachusetts clients not covered by the offers. In that regard, on May 21, 2010, Oppenheimer offered such clients a margin loan against marginable collateral with respect to such account holders' holdings of Eligible ARS. Oppenheimer has also agreed to use any excess in the Fund to redeem ARS from Massachusetts clients not covered by the Fund on a pro-rata basis.

Oppenheimer estimates that it is obligated to purchase up to approximately \$4.5 million of Eligible ARS in the initial 15 month period covered by the Order.

If Oppenheimer fails to comply with any of the terms set forth in the Order, the MSD may institute an action to have the Order declared null and void and reinstitute the previously pending administrative proceedings.

Reference is made to the Order between the MSD and Oppenheimer et al., attached to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 as Exhibit 10.24, for additional details of the agreement with the MSD.

As previously disclosed, on February 23, 2010, the NYAG accepted Oppenheimer's offer of settlement and entered an Assurance of Discontinuance ("AOD") pursuant to New York State Executive Law Section 63(15) in connection with Oppenheimer's marketing and sale of ARS. Oppenheimer did not admit or deny any of the findings or allegations contained in the AOD and no fine was imposed.

Pursuant to the terms of the AOD, Oppenheimer commenced an initial offer to purchase Eligible ARS (as defined in the AOD) from Eligible Investors (as defined in the AOD) who held accounts at Oppenheimer on May 21, 2010 (the "Initial Purchase Offer") which will expire on August 4, 2010. The Company had purchased approximately \$19.8 million in ARS from its clients at June 30, 2010. Eligible Investors' accounts will be aggregated on

a “household” basis. Starting on or about August 23, 2010, and continuing every six months thereafter until Oppenheimer has extended a purchase offer to all Eligible Investors, Oppenheimer will offer to purchase Eligible ARS from Eligible Investors who did not receive an Initial Purchase Offer, as excess funds become available to Oppenheimer after giving effect to the financial and regulatory capital constraints applicable to Oppenheimer (the “Additional Purchase Offers”). Oppenheimer’s Initial Purchase Offer and Additional Purchase Offers will remain open for a period of seventy-five days from the date on which the offer to purchase is sent.

In addition, Oppenheimer has agreed to (1) no later than 75 days after Oppenheimer has completed extending a Purchase Offer to all Eligible Investors, use its best efforts to identify any Eligible Investors who purchased Eligible ARS and subsequently sold those securities below par between February 13, 2008 and February 23, 2010 and pay the investor the difference between par and the price at which the Eligible Investor sold the Eligible ARS, plus reasonable interest thereon (the “ARS Losses”); (2) no later than 75 days after Oppenheimer has completed extending a Purchase Offer to all Eligible Investors, use its best efforts to identify Eligible Investors who took out loans from Oppenheimer after February 13, 2008 that were secured by Eligible ARS that were not successfully auctioning at the time the loan was taken out from Oppenheimer and who paid interest associated with the ARS-based portion of those loans in excess of the total interest and dividends received on the Eligible ARS during the duration of the loan (the “Loan Cost Excess”) and reimburse such investors for the Loan Cost Excess plus reasonable interest thereon; (3) upon providing liquidity to all Eligible Investors, participate in a special arbitration process for the exclusive purpose of arbitrating any Eligible Investor’s claim for consequential damages against Oppenheimer related to the investor’s inability to sell Eligible ARS; and (4) work with issuers and other interested parties, including regulatory and governmental entities, to expeditiously provide liquidity solutions for institutional investors not within the definition of Small Businesses and Institutions (as defined in the AOD) that held ARS in Oppenheimer brokerage accounts on February 13, 2008. Oppenheimer believes that because items (1) through (3) above will occur only after it has provided liquidity to all Eligible Investors, it will take an extended period of time before the requirements of items (1) through (3) will take effect.

Each of the AOD and the Order provides that in the event that Oppenheimer enters into another agreement that provides any form of benefit to any Oppenheimer ARS customer on terms more favorable than those set forth in the AOD or the Order, Oppenheimer will immediately extend the more favorable terms contained in such other agreement to all eligible investors. In the case of the Order, it is limited to more favorable agreements entered into subsequent to the February 26, 2010 Order while in the case of the AOD, it covers more favorable agreements entered into prior and subsequent to the February 23, 2010 AOD. The AOD further provides that if Oppenheimer pays (or makes any pledge or commitment to pay) to any governmental entity or regulator pursuant to any other agreement costs or a fine or penalty or any other monetary amount, then an equivalent

payment, pledge or commitment will become immediately owed to the State of New York for the benefit of New York residents.

As a result of these provisions, Oppenheimer may be required to establish a fund similar to the Fund capitalized with at least \$3.65 million for the benefit of Eligible Investors to purchase Eligible ARS. In addition, as a result of these provisions, Oppenheimer may be required to pay the external costs incurred by the NYAG, if any, related to the investigation in an amount not to exceed \$250,000. These provisions will not affect the terms of the Order with MSD.

If Oppenheimer defaults on any obligation under the AOD, the NYAG may terminate the AOD, at his sole discretion, upon 10 days written notice to Oppenheimer.

Reference is made to the AOD between the NYAG and Oppenheimer, attached to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 as Exhibit 10.22, for additional details of the agreement with the NYAG.

The Company estimates that it is obligated to purchase an aggregate of up to approximately \$39 million of eligible ARS in the initial 15 month period covered by the settlements with the Regulators. The ultimate amount to be redeemed will be impacted by redemptions by issuers and client actions during the period, which cannot be predicted. The Company is continuing to cooperate with investigating entities from other states.

In February 2009, Oppenheimer received notification of a filing of an arbitration claim before FINRA captioned *U.S. Airways v. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., et al.* seeking an award compelling Oppenheimer to purchase approximately \$250 million in ARS previously purchased by U.S. Airways through Oppenheimer or, alternatively, an award rescinding such sale. Plaintiffs' seek an award of punitive damages from Oppenheimer as well as interest on such award. Plaintiff bases its claims on numerous causes of action including, but not limited to, fraud, gross negligence, misrepresentation and suitability. U.S. Airways is a publicly-traded corporation that bought and sold ARS for many years through several broker dealers, not just Oppenheimer. It is also a "Qualified Institutional Buyer" (as defined in Rule 144A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) and purchased ARS for cash management purposes. On July 10, 2009, Oppenheimer asserted a third party statement of claim against Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and Deutsche Bank A.G. (the "Deutsche Entities"). At the same time, Oppenheimer filed its answer denying any liability to U.S. Airways. The Deutsche Entities subsequently filed a motion to sever the arbitration into a separate proceeding which motion was granted on July 28, 2010. To the extent there is a determination by an arbitration panel that U.S. Airways has been harmed, Oppenheimer's third party statement of claim against the Deutsche Entities alleges that the Deutsche Entities are liable to U.S. Airways because of their role in the process of creating, marketing and procuring ratings for certain auction rate credit-linked notes. The arbitration is scheduled to commence in May 2011. Oppenheimer believes that subsequent to the filing of the *U.S. Airways* action, U.S. Air sold a portion of its holdings in ARS which would ratably reduce its claim against the Company.

Oppenheimer intends to vigorously defend itself against the allegations in the *U.S. Airways* action.

In April 2009, Oppenheimer was served with a complaint in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky captioned *Ashland, Inc. and Ash Three, LLC v. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc.* seeking compensatory and consequential damages as a result of plaintiff's purchase of approximately \$194 million in ARS. Plaintiffs' sought an award of punitive damages from Oppenheimer as well as interest on such award. Plaintiff based its claim on numerous causes of action including, but not limited to, fraud, gross negligence, misrepresentation and suitability. Ashland is a publicly-traded corporation that bought and sold ARS for many years through several broker dealers, not just Oppenheimer. It is also a "Qualified Institutional Buyer" (as defined in Rule 144A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) and purchased ARS for cash management purposes. The Court granted Oppenheimer's motion to dismiss this action with prejudice on February 22, 2010. Plaintiff filed an appeal of this dismissal with the United States Circuit Court for the Sixth Circuit on March 19, 2010.

In February 2009, the Company was served with an arbitration claim before FINRA captioned *Hansen Beverage Company v. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., et al ("Respondents")*. Hansen demands that its investments in approximately \$60 million in ARS, which are currently illiquid and which Hansen purchased from Oppenheimer, be rescinded. The claim alleges that Oppenheimer misrepresented liquidity and market risks in the ARS market when recommending ARS to Hansen. The Company has filed its response to the claim and also filed a motion to dismiss respondents Oppenheimer Holdings and Oppenheimer Asset Management as parties improperly named in the arbitration. The arbitration has been scheduled to commence in January 2011. As of June 30, 2010, approximately \$26.5 million of the \$60 million Hansen held in ARS have been redeemed at par by their issuers. Hansen is a "Qualified Institutional Buyer" (as defined in Rule 144A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) and purchased ARS for cash management purposes. Oppenheimer intends to vigorously defend itself against the allegations in the *Hansen* action.

In August 2009, Oppenheimer received notification of the filing of an arbitration claim before FINRA captioned *Investec Trustee (Jersey) Limited as Trustee for The St. Paul's Trust v. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. et al.* seeking an award ordering Oppenheimer's repurchase of approximately \$80 million in ARS previously purchased by Investec as Trustee for the St. Paul's Trust, and seeking additional damages of \$7.5 million as a result of claimant's liquidation of certain ARS positions in a private securities transaction. At the same time Oppenheimer filed its answer denying any liability to the claimant, and Oppenheimer asserted a counter-claim against Investec as Trustee for the Trust, alleging that Investec, and not Oppenheimer or its representatives, owed a fiduciary duty to the St. Paul's Trust and violated that duty. Also, at the same time Oppenheimer filed its answer, Oppenheimer asserted third party claims against the underwriters of the ARS still held by claimant. Oppenheimer urged in its third party claim that those underwriters are liable to claimant because of their role in

the processing, trading, marketing and supporting of the ARS still held by claimant, and for other actions by the underwriters which lead to the interruption in the ARS market. The underwriters in this action filed a motion to sever the arbitration into a separate proceeding which motion was granted on June 18, 2010. The arbitration is scheduled to commence in May 2011. Oppenheimer intends to vigorously defend itself against these allegations.

Between April 2008 and June 30, 2010, Oppenheimer and certain affiliated parties have been served with approximately 23 arbitration claims before FINRA, by individuals and entities who purchased ARS through Oppenheimer in amounts ranging from \$25,000 to \$25 million, seeking awards compelling Oppenheimer to repurchase such ARS or, alternatively, awards rescinding such sales, based on a variety of causes of action similar to those described above. The Company has filed, or is in the process of filing, its responses to such claims and is awaiting hearings regarding such claims before FINRA. Oppenheimer believes it has meritorious defenses to these claims and intends to vigorously defend against these claims. Oppenheimer may also implead third parties, including underwriters, where it believes such action is appropriate. It is possible that other individuals or entities that purchased ARS from Oppenheimer may bring additional claims against Oppenheimer in the future for repurchase or rescission.

See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 under "Risk Factors – The Company may be adversely affected by the failure of the Auction Rate Securities Market," herein under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Regulatory Environment – Other Regulatory Matters," and note 13 to the consolidated financial statements appearing in Item 8 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Other Pending Matters

In addition to the ARS cases discussed above, on or about March 13, 2008, Oppenheimer was served in a matter pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of Georgia, captioned *William Perkins, Trustee for International Management Associates v. Lehman Brothers, Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., JB Oxford & Co., Bank of America Securities LLC and TD Ameritrade Inc.* The Trustee seeks to set aside as fraudulent transfers in excess of \$25 million in funds embezzled by the sole portfolio manager for International Management Associates, a hedge fund. Mr. Wright purportedly used the broker/dealer defendants, including Oppenheimer, as conduits for his embezzlement. Oppenheimer filed its answer to the complaint on June 18, 2010. Oppenheimer believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims raised and intends to defend against these claims vigorously.

In April 2009, Oppenheimer received notification of the filing of an arbitration claim before FINRA captioned *Groff et. al v. Oppenheimer* in which the grantors and beneficiaries of the Groff Family Trust filed a claim alleging that, beginning in January 2005, Oppenheimer made recommendations that were unsuitable. The claim alleges damages in excess of \$16 million and alleges as causes of action the following: breach of fiduciary duty, constructive fraud, fraud by misrepresentation and omission,

unauthorized withdrawals of assets, breach of written contract and failure to supervise as well as elder abuse and violation of state and federal securities laws and the FINRA Rules of Fair Practice. The arbitration is scheduled to commence in September 2010. Oppenheimer believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims raised and intends to vigorously defend itself against these claims.

In March 2010, the Company received a notice from counsel representing a receiver appointed by a state district court in Oklahoma (the "Receiver") to oversee a liquidation proceeding of Providence Property and Casualty Company ("Providence"), an Oklahoma insurance company. That notice demanded the return of Providence's municipal bond portfolio of approximately \$55 million that had been custodied at Oppenheimer beginning in January 2009. In January 2009, the municipal bond portfolio had been transferred to an insurance holding company, Park Avenue Insurance LLC ("Park Avenue"), as part of a purchase and sale transaction. Park Avenue used the portfolio as collateral for a margin loan used to fund the purchase of Providence from Providence's parent. The Receiver alleges, among other things, that the transfer of the bond portfolio to Park Avenue is a revocable transaction and that the Receiver can, and intends to avoid, or set aside, this transaction. Oppenheimer believes it acted in good faith and on appropriate instructions at all times in this matter. However, at this time, there can be no guarantee that Oppenheimer will not become subject to an action by the Receiver seeking a return of the value of the Providence Municipal bond portfolio from Oppenheimer

Risk Factors

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, there were no material changes to the information contained in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, except as described in Part I, Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – under the caption "Business Environment."

OFFICES

Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004
U.S.A.
Tel: (416) 322-1515
Fax: (416) 322-7007

Oppenheimer & Co. Inc.
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004
U.S.A.
Tel: (212) 668-8000
Fax: (212) 943-8728

WEBSITE

The Company's public financial filings, press releases, statement of corporate governance practices, code of ethics, whistleblower policy and committee charters are posted on its website – www.opco.com under Investor Relations.

STOCK LISTING

The Class A non-voting shares of Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol OPY.

FORM 10-Q

A copy of the Company's Quarterly Report filed on Form 10-Q with the SEC is available upon request from either of the offices listed above or by email to investorrelations@opy.ca. The link to the SEC's EDGAR website is available from the Company's website – www.opco.com under Investor Relations.

OPPENHEIMER

