Shifting Tides: Correlations and Multi-Asset Investing

Lower correlations—coupled with greater dispersion of volatility across sectors—emphasize the importance of asset allocation and could lead to more opportunities for outperformance by active managers over passive beta strategies.
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Key Takeaways

- Historically, the lowest levels of cross-asset correlation have coincided with periods of higher equity multiples and government bond yields—a path the U.S. markets have been traveling on.
- Correlation among asset classes has been declining in recent years, which should help active management prevail over passive beta.
- Diversification across sectors, asset classes and geographic regions remains a key tenet of investing, one that must be maintained throughout a full market cycle to reap the benefits.

It’s no surprise that generating alpha through asset allocation has been challenging in the aftermath of the financial crisis. Global central banks’ manipulation of risk assets is believed to have distorted market correlations through the seemingly endless loop of risk-on, risk-off sentiment. In fact, the post-2008 era has seen the highest levels of correlation in the past 20 years.

However, the tides appear to be shifting as cross-asset correlations \(^1\) have been receding in recent months. Cross-asset correlation is defined as how closely returns of different assets move relative to one another (U.S. equity vs. U.S. credit; global sovereign debt vs. real assets). There are three primary observations we draw from this trend: 1) correlations are typically lowest in mature stages of the market cycle; 2) asset-allocation strategies stand to benefit from declining correlations; and 3) active management should prevail over passive beta. These observations re-emphasize the continued importance of maintaining a well-diversified portfolio throughout a full market cycle.

“As shifts in monetary and fiscal policy begin to take hold, we expect the pace of market-cycle maturation to accelerate.”

Correlations Are Lowest in Mature Stages of the Market Cycle

Historically, the lowest levels of cross-asset correlation have coincided with periods of higher equity multiples and government bond yields. This environment is typical of the “inflationary boom” scenario defined by accelerating growth and rising inflation. While we may still be far from the warning track, we believe the United States is on a path toward higher interest rates and extended multiples. As shifts in monetary and fiscal policy begin to take hold, we expect the pace of market-cycle maturation to accelerate.

---

\(^1\) Cross-Asset Correlations: average 52-week return correlations between Russell 1000, Russell 2000, MSCI World ex USA, MSCI EM, Bloomberg Barclays US Aggregate, BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield, Citigroup WGBI ex-US, FTSE NAREIT All REITs, Bloomberg Commodity Index.
The above chart illustrates the historical relationship between correlations and the market cycle. The chart plots weekly 10-Year Treasury yields against S&P 500 forward P/E ratios (z-score) since 2000. The size of the blue dots represents the level of cross-asset correlation on that week, whereby the larger dots indicate a higher correlation. There appears to be a clear trend across the three metrics—periods of higher bond yields and richly valued equity multiples often coincide with low asset correlation. On the other hand, an early-cycle environment—defined by low bond yields and low equity valuations—tends to breed higher correlations as beta and sentiment converge. Therefore, if history is any indication, then global asset classes should begin to separate from each other and offer improved risk diversification as we move from the bottom-left to the top-right quadrant in the market cycle.

The Upside of Declining Correlations

Today, there is little academic dispute regarding the diversifying properties of satellite investments such as commodities, real estate, emerging-market equities and global debt. While many of these asset classes carry a higher absolute risk, blending them together with a traditional balanced portfolio tends to lower total portfolio volatility, increase total portfolio returns or generate some combination of higher returns and lower risk. This approach proved most effective during the 2000-2002 bear market in which U.S. large-cap equities were down -37% while REITs, commodities and global bonds gained 52%, 37% and 14% respectively.²

“During a flight to safety, systematic risk tends to increase and overwhelm asset-specific (idiosyncratic) risk—pushing cross-asset correlations higher.”

While many asset classes are imperfectly correlated over time, long-term historical correlations may not hold during short periods of market stress or artificially inflated asset prices. During a flight to safety, systematic risk tends to increase and overwhelm asset-specific (idiosyncratic) risk—pushing cross-asset correlations higher. As the chart on Page 3 shows, a multi-asset portfolio³ equally-weighted across nine asset classes produces significantly higher returns during periods of low cross-asset correlation. With cross-asset correlations declining, we expect well-diversified asset allocation strategies to regain their performance edge against static 60/40 portfolios.

² US Equity: Russell 1000; REIT: FTSE NAREIT All REIT; Commodity: Bloomberg Commodity Index; Global Bond: Citigroup WGBI ex-US
³ Multi-Asset Sample Portfolio is equally weighted across the following indices: Russell 1000, Russell 2000, MSCI World ex USA, MSCI EM, Bloomberg Barclays US Aggregate, BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield, Citigroup WGBI ex-US, FTSE NAREIT All REITs, Bloomberg Commodity Index.
Active Should Prevail Over Passive

The post-crisis environment has not only impacted cross-asset correlations, but has also greatly distorted the relationships within asset classes. From global central bank accommodation to macroeconomic shocks such as Brexit, U.S. stocks have moved in lockstep with one another—a trend that has plagued active managers for much of the bull market.

However, the tide is also shifting for those bottom-up stock pickers as correlations among companies within the S&P 500 have been on a steady decline over the past year, ending 2016 at nearly half of their December 2011 peaks. We believe these weakening correlations, coupled with greater dispersion of volatility across sectors, could lead to more opportunities for outperformance by active managers over passive beta strategies.

“...correlations among companies within the S&P 500 have been on a steady decline over the past year, ending 2016 at nearly half of their December 2011 peaks.”

Nearly a decade since the doldrums of the 2008 crisis, the economy seems to have only just started its engine after years of sluggish growth in a prolonged recovery. However, financial markets, have managed to set records in as many years—establishing a clear dichotomy between market cycles and economic cycles.

Still, as long-term investors, we continue to believe the best approach to navigating the market’s natural evolution is to remain well diversified across sectors, asset classes and regions. While bouts of euphoria may tempt investors to stray from this approach, diversification and active management should win out in the long run.

Questions? Your Oppenheimer financial advisor will work with you to determine the most suitable asset allocation and investments given your objectives, risk tolerance and time horizon.
Disclaimer
Sources: Factset, Bloomberg Financial Services, Zephyr StyleAdvisor, Morningstar Inc.

The returns for the simulated portfolios represent performance of hypothetical allocations and do not represent results of actual accounts. The performance of actual accounts will vary. Performance results for hypothetical allocations have inherent limitations. Such returns do not factor in the effects of economic and market conditions then in existence on the investment manager’s decision-making process if the manager were actually managing client money which would have changed the allocation and results. Additionally, hypothetical allocations are subject to the fact that they were determined with the benefit of hindsight based on the actual performance of the individual manager or index.

Definitions
Indices are unmanaged and presented for comparison purposes only. Please note that the returns displayed for indices do not take into account any of the costs associated with buying and selling individual securities. Individuals cannot invest directly in an index.

Bloomberg Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index (Treasury, Agency, Securitized – MBS, Credit – Corporate). The Bloomberg Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index is a broad-based flagship benchmark that measures the investment grade, US dollar-denominated, fixed-rate taxable bond market. The index includes Treasuries, government-related and corporate securities, MBS (agency fixed-rate and hybrid ARM pass-through), ABS and CMBS (agency and non-agency).

Bloomberg Commodity Index - The Index tracks prices of futures contracts on physical commodities on the commodity markets. The index is designed to minimize concentration in any one commodity or sector. It currently has 22 commodity futures in seven sectors.

BofA ML High-Yield Master Index - a capitalization-weighted index that provides a broad measure of the performance of the non-investment grade US domestic bond market. The Index is limited to US domestic and Yankee markets. Bonds must have greater than 1 year remaining to final maturity, at least $100 million face outstanding, be US dollar pay, have a fixed coupon schedule with a credit rating below investment grade not in default.

Citigroup Non-USD World Government Bond Index (WGBI) – The Citigroup Non-U.S. Dollar World Government Bond Index is an unmanaged, market-capitalization-weighted index that tracks 10 government bond indices, excluding the United States.

FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index – is a market capitalization-weighted index that and includes all tax-qualified real estate investment trusts (REITs) that are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange or the NASDAQ National Market List. The FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index is not free float adjusted, and constituents are not required to meet minimum size and liquidity criteria.

MSCI World ex-USA - The MSCI World ex USA Index captures large and mid-cap representation across 22 of 23 Developed Markets (DM) countries excluding the United States.

MSCI Emerging Markets - The MSCI Emerging Markets Index is an index created by Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) designed to measure equity market performance in global emerging markets.

Russell 1000 Index – a stock market index that represents the highest-ranking 1,000 stocks in the Russell 3000 Index, which represents about 90% of the total market capitalization of that index.

Russell 2000 Index - The Russell 2000 index is an index measuring the performance approximately 2,000 small-cap companies in the Russell 3000 Index, which is made up of 3,000 of the biggest U.S. stocks. The Russell 2000 serves as a benchmark for small-cap stocks in the United States.

Risks
Investing in securities is speculative and entails risk. There can be no assurance that the investment objectives will be achieved or that an investment strategy will be successful. The use of leverage, short sales and derivative transactions, investment in foreign or illiquid securities, and potentially limited diversification, could cause significant losses.
Special Risks of Real Estate Securities

Real estate investing may be subject to a higher degree of market risk because of concentration in a specific industry, sector or geographical sector. Real estate investing may be subject to risks including, but not limited to, declines in the value of real estate, risks related to general and economic conditions, changes in the value of the underlying property owned by the trust and defaults by borrower.

As with any investment, there are risks associated with investing in bonds. These include risks related to interest rate movements (interest rate risk and reinvestment risk), and the risk of credit quality deterioration (credit or default risk). These risks need to be evaluated and effectively managed if the client is to achieve the potential benefits of investing in fixed income securities.

Interest Rate Risk. Interest rate risk is the risk that market interest rate fluctuations result in a decline in the security’s price between the time the investor buys it and the time (before maturity) at which he or she sells it. (The bond’s price will decline when rates rise and vice versa.)

Reinvestment Risk. Reinvestment risk is the risk that the cash flow received from a bond may be reinvested at a lower rate of return. Short-maturity bonds and callable bonds are the instruments most frequently associated with reinvestment risk. Callable bonds may subject the investor to reinvestment risk. Such bonds allow the issuer to repay the principal (with accrued interest) with the flexibility to refinance the debt if rates are low or declining. The timing of bond calls occurs precisely when investors do not want to receive their principal back, i.e., when they can only reinvest at either lower rates or in lower-quality securities. To compensate them for this reinvestment risk, investors in callables typically demand (and get) a higher interest rate as compared to non-callables.

Credit or Default Risk. Credit or default risk is the risk that the issuer may be unable to make timely principal and interest payments on the bond. It is the critical determinant of a fixed income security’s quality.

High Yield Fixed Income Risk. High yield fixed income securities are considered to be speculative and involve a substantial risk of default. Adverse changes in economic conditions or developments regarding the issuer are more likely to cause price volatility for issuers of high yield debt than would be the case for issuers of higher grade debt securities. In addition, the market for high yield debt may be less attractive than that of higher-grade debt securities.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.
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